WORK-IN-PROGRESS (SEPTEMBER 15, 2022) PARALLEL CHART FOR

Paper 103 — The Reality of Religious Experience

© 2010, 2013, 2015, 2019, 2020, 2022 Matthew Block

Most endnotes and Urantia Book cross-references have been deleted to enhance readability.

Sources for Paper 103, in the order in which they first appear

- (1) A. Campbell Garnett, A Realistic Philosophy of Religion (Chicago: Willett, Clark & Company, 1942)
- John Baillie, The Interpretation of Religion: An Introductory Study of Theological Principles (New York: Charles Scribner's Sons, 1928)
- (3) Katharine Whiteside Taylor, *Do Adolescents Need Parents?* (New York: D. Appleton-Century Company, 1938)
- (4) Edwin Lewis, God and Ourselves: A Plea for the Reality, Adequacy and Availability of God (New York: The Abingdon Press, 1931)
- (5) Albert C. Knudson, *The Doctrine of God* (New York: Abingdon-Cokesbury Press, 1930)

Key

- (a) Green indicates where a source author first appears, or where he/she reappears.
- **Yellow** highlights most parallelisms.
- (c) Tan highlights parallelisms occurring further apart, usually not in the same row.
- (d) An <u>underlined</u> word or words indicates where the source and the UB writer pointedly differ from each other.
- (e) Blue indicates original (or "revealed") information, or UB-specific terminology and concepts. (What to highlight in this regard is debatable; the highlights are tentative.)
- (f) Light green indicates Bible passages or fragments thereof, which are not paralleled in the source texts.

Work-in-progress Version 6 okt. 2010 © 2010, 2013, 2015, 2019, 2020, 2022 Matthew Block *Revised 4 Sept. 2013, 1 Apr.* 2015, 29 Nov. 2019, 14 Aug. 2020, 15 Sept. 2022

PAPER 103 — THE REALITY OF RELI-GIOUS EXPERIENCE

103:0.1 All of man's truly religious reactions are sponsored by the early ministry of the adjutant of worship and are censored by the adjutant of wisdom. Man's first supermind endowment is that of personality encircuitment in the Holy Spirit of the Universe Creative Spirit; and long before either the bestowals of the divine Sons or the universal bestowal of the Adjusters, this influence functions to enlarge man's viewpoint of ethics, religion, and spirituality. Subsequent to the bestowals of the Paradise Sons the liberated Spirit of Truth makes mighty contributions to the enlargement of the human capacity to perceive religious truths. As evolution advances on an inhabited world, the Thought Adjusters increasingly participate in the development of the higher types of human religious insight. The Thought Adjuster is the cosmic window through which the finite creature may faith-glimpse the certainties and divinities of limitless Deity, the Universal Father.

103:0.2 The religious tendencies of the human races are innate; they are universally manifested and have an apparently natural origin; primitive religions are always evolutionary in their genesis. As natural religious experience continues to progress, periodic revelations of truth punctuate the otherwise slow-moving course of planetary evolution.

URANTIA PAPER 103

103:0.3 On Urantia, today, there are four kinds of religion:

103:0.4 1. Natural or evolutionary religion.

103:0.5 2. Supernatural or revelatory religion.

103:0.6 3. Practical or current religion, varying degrees of the admixture of natural and supernatural religions.

103:0.7 4. Philosophic religions, manmade or philosophically thought-out theologic doctrines and reason-created religions.

1. PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION

PREFACE (Garnett vii)

103:1.1 The unity of religious experience among a social or racial group derives from the identical nature of the God fragment indwelling the individual.

From the analysis of religious experience there issues the finding that what men have called God is a factor within themselves that they naturally distinguish from the familiar self of private desire. It is that within each of us that demands of us that we concern ourselves with the good of others besides ourselves. The history of religion is the story of man's effort to understand and adjust himself to this element of the divine within him.

It is this divine in man that gives origin to his unselfish interest in the welfare of other men.

URANTIA PAPER 103

But since personality is unique—no two mortals being alike—it inevitably follows that no two human beings can similarly interpret the leadings and urges of the spirit of divinity which lives within their minds.

Traditionally he has believed that the divine within comes from a divine being without, and religious communities have divided over their interpretation of that divinity. But it is the thesis of our interpretation of religious practice that, providing we rightly understand the nature of the divine within, as a will to universal good, we can and should cooperate as a religious community

A group of mortals can experience spiritual unity,

without insisting on further agreement in matters of religious theory (G vii-viii).

but they can never attain philosophic uniformity.

I: METHODS AND VIEWS (Garnett 1)

PROBLEMS OF METHOD IN THE PHILOSOPHY OF RELIGION (Garnett 4)

And this diversity of the interpretation of religious thought and experience is shown by the fact that

Professor Leuba, writing in 1912, listed forty-eight definitions of religion, and scholars have been so busy with the subject since then that they must have added at least as many more (G 5).

twentieth-century theologians and philosophers have formulated upward of <u>five</u> <u>hundred</u> different <u>definitions</u> of religion.

In this <u>investigation</u> we have to begin with the rough-and-ready concept of religion that we have picked up from our social environment and filled with meaning from <u>our own experience</u>.

In reality, every human being defines religion in the terms of his own experiential interpretation

of the divine impulses emanating from the God spirit that indwells him,

We soon discover that we all have different concepts and differences of experience.

But if my concept and experience were entirely different from the reader's, then what is here written would be entirely unintelligible to him. So if we can understand each other at all when we talk about religion

we have *some* experience of it in common (G 5-6).

But though our whole understanding of such <u>concepts</u> as religion and morality has, in the last resort, to be wrought out of our own <u>experience</u>,

it would remain very poor without the illumination we receive from others. It is necessary to bring to bear upon our own experience, therefore, a description of a great variety of the experiences of others, and to seek to enter into sympathetic understanding of them (G 7).

URANTIA PAPER 103

and therefore must such an interpretation be unique and wholly different from the religious philosophy of all other human beings.

103:1.2 When one mortal is in full agreement with the religious philosophy of a fellow mortal,

that phenomenon indicates that these two beings have had a similar *religious experience*

touching the matters concerned in their similarity of philosophic religious interpretation.

103:1.3 While your <u>religion</u> is a matter of personal <u>experience</u>,

it is most important that you should be exposed to the knowledge of a vast number of other religious experiences

(the diverse interpretations of other and diverse mortals) to the end that you may prevent your religious life from becoming egocentric—circumscribed, selfish, and unsocial.

URANTIA PAPER 103

RATIONALISM AND SYNOPTIC PHILOSOPHY (Garnett 8)

[F]or rationalism religion is first a belief and secondarily a pursuit of values;

for empiricism it is first a pursuit of values and secondarily a system of beliefs (G 8-9).

Religion shows its independence of any specific belief in the fact of the enormous variety of beliefs that may be incorporated in a religion, and in the fact that the practical manifestations characteristic of religion may be present even where all the generally recognized characteristic beliefs are absent (G 10).

A person may be very deeply distressed at losing his religious beliefs, but he often develops just as fine and satisfying a religion with a mere fraction of his original and traditional system, or with none of it (G 9).

Thus the familiar phenomenon of continuity of religion in spite of forced abandonment of religious beliefs, strongly indicates that belief is *not* the most fundamental element in religion (G 10).

103:1.4 Rationalism is wrong when it assumes that religion is at first a primitive belief in something which is then followed by the pursuit of values.

Religion is primarily a pursuit of values, and then there formulates a system of interpretative beliefs.

It is much easier for men to agree on religious values—goals—than on beliefs—interpretations.

And this explains how

religion can agree on values and goals while exhibiting the confusing phenomenon of maintaining a belief in hundreds of conflicting beliefs—creeds.

This also explains why

a given person can maintain his religious experience in the face of giving up or changing many of his religious beliefs.

Religion persists in spite of revolutionary changes in religious beliefs.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Religion, of course, always *involves* some thought, some belief. But it is religion that produces the characteristic forms of thought, not the characteristic forms of thought that produce all the rest of the religion (G 11).

Theology does not produce religion; it is religion that produces theologic philosophy.

THE RESULTS OF RATIONALISM (Garnett 11)

Yet another reason why rationalism leads to skepticism is found in the history of religion. Most religious beliefs are obviously false. So if belief is the foundation of religion its foundations are mostly false (G 13).

103:1.5 That religionists have believed so much that was false

does not invalidate religion because religion is founded on the recognition of values and is validated by the faith of personal religious experience.

But the situation is very different if religion is based on experience.

Religion, then, is based on experience and religious thought;

Religious thought or belief is then an interpretation of that experience,

theology, the philosophy of religion, is an honest attempt to interpret that experience.

and religious activity a response to it.

The response may be more or less appropriate; the interpretation may be more or less correct (G 13-14).

Such interpretative beliefs may be right or wrong, or a mixture of truth and error.

URANTIA PAPER 103

ROMANTIC EMPIRICISM: SCHLEIER-MACHER (Garnett 14)

[contd] The father of modern religious empiricism is Friedrich Schleiermacher.... "True religion," he asserts in the second of his famous Speeches on Religion, "is a sense and taste for the infinite." In his major work, The Christian Faith, he maintains that "the essence of piety is a feeling of absolute dependence or, which is to say the same thing, a consciousness of our relation with God." This is said to be the highest grade of feeling, but it is indescribable. It is an "intuition," an "immediate self-consciousness" which one may contemplate but cannot express. It is something psychologically more fundamental than ideas.

Ideas and words are inadequate to describe it (G 14).

SYMBOLISTIC EMPIRICISM: AMES AND WIEMAN (Garnett 19)

Personality is the highest thing we know.... What gives its unique value to personality is the fact of consciousness.... A deity that is unconscious is therefore infrapersonal in value, however suprapersonal it, or "he," may be in other respects (G 26).

103:1.6 The realization of the recognition of spiritual values is an experience which is superideational.

There is no word in any human language which can be employed to designate this "sense," "feeling," "intuition," or "experience" which we have elected to call God-consciousness.

The spirit of God that dwells in man is not personal—the Adjuster is prepersonal—but this Monitor presents a value, exudes a flavor of divinity, which is personal in the highest and infinite sense.

If God were not at least personal, he could not be conscious,

URANTIA PAPER 103

Either God must be a conscious being or he cannot be the object of supreme devotion. We only fool ourselves with rhetoric when we try to pay devotion to something allegedly superhuman that, being unconscious, is in reality infrahuman in value (G 26).

and if not conscious, then would he be infrahuman.

2. RELIGION AND THE INDIVIDUAL

II: THE BIRTH OF RELIGION IN THE INDIVIDUAL (Garnett 35)

THE INITIAL PHASES OF RELIGIOUS LIFE (Garnett 35)

[contd] Birth is not the beginning of life. It is simply the occasion when we come forth into the light of day. Similarly, by the birth of religion in the individual mind we do not mean the beginning of the religious life,

but simply the occasion of its coming forth into the full light of consciousness (G 35).

The psychological birth of religion, is, normally, not sudden.

103:2.1 Religion is functional in the human mind and has been realized in experience prior to

its appearance in human consciousness.

A child has been in existence about nine months before it experiences *birth*.

But the "birth" of religion is not sudden; it is rather a gradual emergence.

Nevertheless, sooner or later there is a "birth day."

URANTIA PAPER 103

You do not enter the kingdom of heaven unless you have been

In his physical birth the individual is passive. In his religious "new birth" he is active; he is "born again" by his own labors.... The individual actively undergoes certain inner mental adjustments (G 36).

"born again"—born of the Spirit.

The fact that this change may take place suddenly, and may be accompanied by abnormal psychological phenomena such as spiritual anguish and ecstasy and even by visions and voices and strange physical impulsions, has been given a great deal too much attention (G 36).

Many spiritual births are accompanied by much anguish of spirit and marked psychological perturbations,

On the other hand, the fact that many people grow to spiritual maturity without passing through any marked period of storm and stress, responding very easily and naturally to appropriate new spiritual stimuli from the environment,

as many physical births are characterized by a "stormy labor" and other abnormalities of "delivery."

has led some religious educators to believe that under proper processes of "conditioning" moral and religious development may take place without effort from within, being purely a matter of passive responses from stimuli without (G 36-37).

Other spiritual births are a natural and normal growth of the recognition of supreme values with an enhancement of spiritual experience,

Yet full religious and moral development is a prize that can no more be won without effort, struggle and occasional failure than can excellence in any other form of human achievement (G 37).

albeit no religious development occurs without conscious effort and positive and individual determinations.

Religion is never a passive experience, a negative attitude.

URANTIA PAPER 103

THE TYPICAL CONVERSION CRISIS: SOME HISTORICAL EXAMPLES (Garnett 37)

The cases of conversion accompanied by exceptional psychological experiences, whether gradual or sudden, do not usually belong to the earliest phases of religious development (G 37).

What is termed the "birth of religion" is not directly associated with so-called conversion experiences which usually characterize religious episodes occurring later in life

MENTAL CONFLICT AND THE CONVERSION CRISIS (Garnett 39)

[contd] Modern abnormal psychology enables us to understand these extraordinary experiences. In every case there is mental conflict; and mental conflict, when prolonged and severe, generates repressions (G 39).

NORMAL CONVERSION AND MORAL AWAKENING (Garnett 43)

[contd] But over against these more striking cases and the emphasis on conflict arising from them, there must be placed a great multitude of cases of religious development apparently devoid of crisis.... As an outstanding example of this type James (and many others after him) quotes the reply of Dr. E. E. Hale, an eminent Unitarian minister, to one of Starbuck's circulars:

"I observe, with profound regret, the religious struggles which come into many biographies, as if almost essential to the formation of the hero. I ought to speak to these, to say that any man has an advantage, not be estimated, who is born, as I was, into a family where the religion is simple and rational; who is trained in the theory of such a religion, so that he never knows, for an hour, what these religious or irreligious struggles are. I always knew that God loved me, and I was always grateful to him for the world he placed me in..." (G 43-44).

as a result of mental conflict, emotional repression, and temperamental upheavals.

103:2.2 But those persons who were so reared by their parents that they grew up in the consciousness of being children of a loving heavenly Father,

URANTIA PAPER 103

should not look askance at their fellow mortals who could only attain such consciousness of fellowship with God through a psychological crisis, an emotional upheaval.

RELIGION AS AN OUTGROWTH OF MORALITY (Garnett 47)

[contd] From all this evidence one fact stands out clearly—that the roots of religion are in the moral life (G 47).

103:2.3 The evolutionary soil in the mind of man in which the seed of revealed religion germinates is the moral nature

that so early gives

[... Professor E. S. Ames goes so far as to say ... that "the origin of religion . . . is to be sought in the origin of the social consciousness" ... (G 51).]

The first moral <u>problems</u> of which we are aware are not those of sex or doubt or pride.

but those of justice and kindness.

The moral ideals that first inspire us are not those of chastity or humility but those of service to the common good (G 50).

Where such ideals, rather than repentance and submission, are exalted in connection with religious belief,

there is a natural and ready response on the part of young people at an early age, and religion develops naturally—not without effort, but happily and without undue distress (G 50). origin to a social consciousness.

The first <u>promptings</u> of a child's moral nature have not to do with <u>sex</u>, guilt, or personal <u>pride</u>,

but rather with impulses of justice, fairness, and urges to kindness—

helpful ministry to one's fellows.

And when such early moral awakenings are nurtured,

there occurs a gradual development of the religious life which is comparatively free from conflicts, upheavals, and crises.

URANTIA PAPER 103

MORAL CONFLICT AND THE DIVIDED SELF (Garnett 51)

[contd] This brings us to the main thesis of this book: that man's consciousness of God rests upon the element of conflict that is first felt as between the egoistic and the altruistic tendencies of our nature (G 51-52).

[contd] In the past those writers, such as James and Starbuck, who have drawn attention to the element of mental conflict in the birth of the religious consciousness, have been too much influenced by those features of the conflict in the majority of the cases studied which were due to the special influence of evangelical theology and to pathological repressions.

These suggested that the struggle was away from sin rather than toward righteousness, and that it ended in surrender rather than in victory (G 52).

103:2.4 Every human being very early experiences something of a conflict between his self-seeking and his altruistic impulses,

and many times the first experience of God-consciousness may be attained as the result of seeking for superhuman <u>help</u> in the task of resolving such moral conflicts.

103:2.5 The psychology of a child is naturally positive, not negative. So many mortals are negative because they were so trained. When it is said that the child is positive, reference is made to his moral impulses, those powers of mind whose emergence signals the arrival of the Thought Adjuster.

103:2.6 In the absence of wrong teaching,

the mind of the normal child moves positively, in the emergence of religious consciousness,

toward moral righteousness and social ministry, rather than negatively, away from sin and guilt.

Conflict is *undesirable*, but it is necessary, for the simple reason that there are opposing psychological factors that have to be overcome if there is to be any growth of the moral personality.

If we could grow into full perfection of character without effort on our own part we would be either automatons or divinities (G 52).

Now it is important to recognize that ethical principles cannot be stated simply as an issue between altruism and egoism. There are altruistic actions that are wrong and egoistic actions that are right. Nevertheless, it is this issue that constitutes the moral conflict as it first emerges in the consciousness of the individual; and it remains the fundamental moral problem throughout life (G 52-53).

[Compare: Personal satisfaction, of course, will be found in successfully attaining both [egoistic and altruistic] results ... (G 53).]

URANTIA PAPER 103

There may or may not be conflict in the development of religious experience,

but there are always present the inevitable decisions, effort, and function of the human will.

103:2.7 Moral choosing is usually accompanied by more or less moral conflict.

And this very first conflict in the child mind is between the urges of egoism and the impulses of altruism.

The Thought Adjuster does not disregard the personality values of the egoistic motive but does operate to place a slight preference upon the altruistic impulse as leading to the goal of human happiness and to the joys of the kingdom of heaven.

103:2.8 When a moral being chooses to be unselfish when confronted by the urge to be selfish, that is primitive religious experience. No animal can make such a choice; such a decision is both human and religious. It embraces the fact of Godconsciousness and exhibits the impulse of social service, the basis of the brotherhood of man. When mind chooses a right moral judgment by an act of the free will, such a decision constitutes a religious experience.

URANTIA PAPER 103

The child's own satisfactions and dissatisfactions are prominent in his consciousness, and (in so far as he distinguishes self and not-self) he responds to them as his own. He thus forms a strong body of purely egoistic habits, a tightly knit egoistic self, before he develops sufficient imagination to think of the satisfactions and dissatisfactions of other people and look at matters from their point of view (G 54).

But before a child has developed sufficiently to acquire moral capacity and therefore to be able to choose altruistic service,

This conflict presents itself as between a lower self and a higher, between an old self and a new (G 54).

he has already developed a strong and well-unified egoistic nature.

[contd from three rows up] This natural, childish system of egoistic habits, which I shall call the original ego, is the "old Adam" that the altruistic desires have to contend with when they arise (G 54-55).

And it is this factual situation that gives rise to the theory of the struggle between the "higher" and the "lower" natures,

and the "new nature" of grace.

between the "old man of sin"

Generous impulses arise spontaneously when the young person thinks of the needs of others; and gradually a system of habits, both of thinking of the good of others and of responding to the thought, develops (G 55).

labouring ye ought to support the weak, and to remember the words of the Lord Jesus, how he said, It is more blessed to give than to receive (Acts 20:35).]

[I have shewed you all things, how that so

Very early in life the normal child begins to learn that it is "more blessed to give than to receive."

URANTIA PAPER 103

THE ALTRUISTIC WILL AND THE IDEA OF GOD (Garnett 55)

[M]an, in this moral conflict, tends to feel that the will to the good of others, when it conflicts with the original ego, is not his own. He identifies himself with the original ego (G 56).

[W]hat men immediately feel as the divine agency, as God within them, is this element of their own personality, the altruistic will.... He is that within us which goes beyond the seeking of our own good to seek the good of others (G 57).

103:2.9 Man tends to identify the urge to be self-serving with his ego—himself.

In contrast he is inclined to identify the will to be altruistic with some influence outside himself—God.

And indeed is such a judgment right, for all such nonself desires do actually have their origin in the leadings of the indwelling Thought Adjuster, and this Adjuster is a fragment of God. The impulse of the spirit Monitor is realized in human consciousness as the urge to be altruistic, fellow-creature minded.

At least this is the early and fundamental experience of the child mind.

When the growing child fails of personality unification,

Few theists will object to the view that the moral will in man is God within us; but many are likely to object that the altruistic will is not always moral, i.e., not always right. Its intentions are good, but it may sometimes lead us to undue sacrifice of ourselves, sometimes to a falsity to higher values or to socially important principles in order to please some narrow or unworthy group or individual, sometimes to mistakes as to what is the true good of those whose good we seek (G 58).

the altruistic drive may become so overdeveloped as to work serious injury to the welfare of the self.

URANTIA PAPER 103

A misguided conscience can become responsible for much conflict, worry, sorrow, and no end of human unhappiness.

3. RELIGION AND THE HUMAN RACE

III: THE BIRTH OF RELIGION IN THE RACE (Garnett 60)

E. B. TYLOR: THE ANIMISTIC THEORY (Garnett 62)

[E. B. Tylor] regarded the belief in spiritual beings as lying at the basis of all religion, and so felt that the fundamental problem was to explain the origin of that belief (G 62).

Tylor recognized that the sheer invention of [a spirit] to explain the difference between the dead and the living would involve a tremendous leap of the imagination. Therefore he looked for a second factor to bridge this gap, and he thought that this could be found in the experience of dreams (G 62).

dreams,

and diverse other superstitions all played a part in the evolutionary origin of primitive religions,

E. DURKHEIM: THE COLLECTIVIST THEORY (Garnett 65)

you should not overlook the influence of the clan or tribal spirit of solidarity.

[Compare G 66.]

In the group relationship there was presented the exact social situation which provided the challenge to the egoisticaltruistic conflict in the moral nature of the early human mind.

103:3.1 While the belief in spirits,

URANTIA PAPER 103

[The Australian aboriginals] believe in spirits and have traditions concerning certain beings who came from the sky, taught them their culture, and returned thither.

In spite of their belief in spirits,

But there is neither worship nor prayer offered to the spirits or other divine beings. The aboriginal religious consciousness is absorbed in a mere totemic ritual, each clan or social group having its own totemic symbol.... The religious feeling attached to the symbol, [Durkheim] claims, is derived from the actual feeling toward the clan itself (G 65-66).

primitive Australians still focus their religion upon the clan.

Gradually [the mystical power of the totemic symbol] is personalized,

In time, such religious concepts tend to personalize,

becoming first an animal deity and later an anthropomorphic god who appears in the animal form of what was once the totem—a course of development plainly recorded in Egyptian religion (G 66).

first, as animals, and later, as a superman or as a God.

Even some of the most primitive foodgatherers, such as the Andaman Islanders, the Congo pygmies and the South African Bushmen, are not totemic.

Even such inferior races as the African Bushmen, who are not even totemic in their beliefs,

yet they have a religion, they distinguish between the sacred and the secular, and they possess the concept of mana (G 67).

between the self-interest and the group-interest,

do have a recognition of the difference

a primitive distinction between the values of the secular and the sacred.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Indeed, if we refer again to the birth of religion in the individual as we know it today, we see how inadequate the collectivist theory is to explain it. This process is certainly rooted in moral experience, but certainly not merely in a feeling derived from the influence of society (G 68).

But the social group is not the source of religious experience.

Regardless of the influence of all these primitive contributions to man's early religion, the fact remains that the true religious impulse has its origin in genuine spirit presences activating the will to be unselfish.

E. WESTERMARCK: THE NATURALISTIC THEORY (Garnett 69)

103:3.2 <u>Later</u> religion is foreshadowed in

[contd] We may take Westermarck as exemplifying what is commonly called the "naturalistic" theory of religion—that it is primarily natural objects that stir primitive man to superstitious awe, reverence and worship, so that religious belief and practice are to be regarded as the outcome of a very natural but mistaken impression of nature (G 69).

the primitive belief in natural wonders and mysteries,

Since Westermarck wrote, this phenomenon has come to be recognized as the most universal feature of primitive religion. It is the belief in mana (G 70).

the impersonal mana.

URANTIA PAPER 103

But sooner or later

[Malinowski] speaks of "the ethical element intrinsically inherent in all religious activities," and continues:

They always require efforts, discipline, and submission on the part of the individual for the good of the community. Taboos, vigils, religious exercises are essentially moral, not merely because they express submission of man to spiritual powers, but also because they are a sacrifice of man's personal comfort for the common weal... (G 74).

the evolving religion requires that the individual should make some personal sacrifice for the good of his social group,

The Australian aboriginal insists that his ceremonies "make everybody better" (G 73).

should do something to make other people happier and better.

Thus, even in the most primitive forms of religion we must recognize a moral element, which Westermarck's explanation of its origin would make secondary and unessential. In the developed religious consciousness of civilized man, however, it is primary and fundamental. Religion is the *service* of the divine, not its utilization for our human purposes (G 74).

Ultimately, religion is destined to become the service of God and of man.

RUDOLPH OTTO: THE THEORY OF THE NUMINOUS (Garnett 75)

In primitive religion as we know it today the element of fear, awe and fascination is undoubtedly predominant. But primitive religion as we know it today is not the beginning, but the end, of a long process of evolution. It is religion stalemated, religion ... adapted to its environment, but no longer adaptable, no longer a power to change the environment itself and the vehicle of its own expression (G 75-76).

103:3.3 Religion is designed to change man's environment.

but much of the religion found among mortals today has become helpless to do this.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Environment has all too often mastered religion.

R. R. MARETT: THE CONCEPT OF MANA (Garnett 77)

"Mana is, as Freud would say, ambivalent. Possessed by it a man is moved to let himself go whether for better or for worse. . . . It looks, then, as if religion apart from morality was neither good nor bad, but just a neutral force."

This may, and must, all be granted—if one agrees that religious beliefs and practices apart from morality are still really religion. But it may be the case that the feeling in which these beliefs and practices arise is essentially a feeling for moral values, so that religion is fundamentally rooted in morality (G 80-81).

103:3.4 Remember that in the religion of all ages the experience which is paramount is the feeling regarding moral values and social meanings,

not the thinking regarding theologic dogmas or philosophic theories.

RELIGION AND ETHICS (Garnett 87)

The important thing is that it is the adventitious element—magic—that is evil, and the essential element—the moral—that is valuable. And again it is important to recognize that the magical element can be sloughed off from religion without leaving us with nothing but an ethic (G 87).

Religion evolves favorably as the element of magic is replaced by the concept of morals.

URANTIA PAPER 103

THE BEGINNINGS OF RELIGION (Garnett 81)

[Loosely gleaned from G 81-85.]

103:3.5 Man evolved through the superstitions of mana, magic, nature worship, spirit fear, and animal worship to the various ceremonials whereby the religious attitude of the individual became the group reactions of the clan. And then these ceremonies became focalized and crystallized into tribal beliefs, and eventually these fears and faiths became personalized into gods.

But while magic and superstition, crude guesses at the mysteries of nature, poetic fancy and the scheming of priests have influenced the development of religious ideas, the moral element has never been entirely absent.

But in all of this religious evolution the moral element was never wholly absent.

It is because of its real moral value that religion survived

And these powerful influences—one human and the other divine—insured the survival of religion throughout the vicissitudes of the ages

The impulse of the God within man was

always potent.

despite the load of magic and trickery that was thrust upon it (G 86).

and that notwithstanding it was so often threatened with extinction by a thousand subversive tendencies and hostile antagonisms.

URANTIA PAPER 103

4. SPIRITUAL COMMUNION

IV: TYPICAL BELIEFS AND PROBLEMS (Garnett 90)

THE IDEA OF **COMMUNION** (Garnett 96)

In any public ceremony there is, of course, a tendency to realize a sense of communion with the other participants. But a religious occasion differentiates itself from one that is merely social and secular by

the <u>deeper</u> sense of <u>communion</u> that it generates,

an experience that the believer naturally tends to interpret as fellowship with the divine, and one that the unbeliever also may feel and cherish however he explains it (G 97).

From time immemorial [the sharing of food] has been a recognized symbol of fellowship, and man has found no more eloquent way of expressing his faith in communion with his god, and with his fellow servants of the same god, than in the symbolism of the common meal.

103:4.1 The characteristic difference between a social occasion and a religious gathering is that in contrast with the secular

the religious is pervaded by the atmosphere of *communion*.

In this way human association generates a feeling of

fellowship with the divine,

and this is the beginning of group worship.

Partaking of a common meal was the earliest type of social communion,

and so did early religions provide that some portion of the ceremonial sacrifice should be eaten by the worshipers.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Even though the idea of God has been so exalted and spiritualized that he can no longer be thought in any sense to partake of food himself, the Christian religion retains such a ceremony as its most solemn act of worship (G 97).

Even in Christianity the Lord's Supper retains this mode of communion.

[I]n the period of communion the ego loses its prominence.... The tension between the ego and the disinterested will relaxes, and the mind enjoys a sweet sense of harmony and peace within through union of the familiar egoistic self with something infinitely more worth while (G 98).

The atmosphere of the communion provides a refreshing and comforting period of truce in the conflict of the self-seeking ego with the altruistic urge of the indwelling spirit Monitor.

(b) The Prayer of Communion.—In public ceremonial, the cultivation of a sense of communion is undoubtedly aided by the presence of others co-operating in the act of worship.... It arises also in private prayer and meditation (G 98).

And this is the prelude to true worship—

The right attitude [to have in prayer], says Fosdick, involves belief in a "Presence that disturbs us with the joy of elevated thoughts," and the practice of "conversation" with that Presence as a friend (G 100).

the practice of the presence of God

THE IDEA OF ATONEMENT (Garnett 102)

which eventuates in the emergence of the brotherhood of man.

[contd] We have referred to the idea of communion as one of the two most important conceptions underlying the practice of sacrifice. But usually the more prominent of these two concepts is that of atonement. The worshiper believes that he has in some way offended the deity and so cut himself off from communion or favor.

103:4.2 When primitive man felt that his communion with God had been interrupted,

The sacrifice is an effort to rectify this situation, to secure an "at-one-ment," a restoration of the proper relationship, "to get right with God" (G 102).

It is not by sinning that people come under conviction of sin, but by hungering and thirsting after righteousness.

It is the pure in heart who see God, because the finer and nobler a person's conduct becomes in actual practice, the more sensitive does he tend to become to moral distinctions and the higher grows the reach of his ideal.

We do not catch up to our ideals by practicing them. They have a way of growing by geometrical progression

which practice advances by arithmetical progression.

It is not that way that people become morally smug and self-satisfied, but rather through lowering their ideals to a so-called "practical" level, easily maintained by their socially instilled habits (G 103).

[contd] Thus there arises an inevitable cleavage within the religious consciousness. It begins with conviction of sin.

URANTIA PAPER 103

he resorted to sacrifice of some kind in an effort to make atonement, to restore friendly relationship.

The hunger and thirst for righteousness

leads to the discovery of truth, and truth

augments ideals,

and this creates new problems for the individual religionists, for

our ideals tend to grow by geometrical progression,

while our ability to live up to them is enhanced only by arithmetical progression.

103:4.3 The sense of guilt (<u>not</u> the <u>consciousness of sin</u>)

comes either from interrupted spiritual communion or from the lowering of one's moral ideals.

URANTIA PAPER 103

This is deepened when the moral ideal is interpreted as the expression of a divine will (G 103).

Deliverance from such a predicament can only come through the realization that

The more one strives to live up to the moral ideal, the more clearly conscious one becomes of how far short of the highest ideal one falls (G 104).

one's highest moral ideals are <u>not</u> necessarily synonymous with the will of God.

So long as righteousness is conceived in legalistic terms and God is regarded as a lawgiver, there seems to be no way in which the sensitive religious consciousness can persuade itself that it has made its peace with God save by penances and sacrifices of atonement. The only real escape is to sweep away the whole legalistic conception of righteousness. This, as we shall see later, is what Jesus did (G 105).

Man cannot hope to live up to his highest ideals,

but he can be true to his purpose of finding God and becoming more and more like him.

103:4.4 Jesus swept away all of the ceremonials of sacrifice and atonement.

He destroyed the basis of all this fictitious guilt and sense of isolation in the universe by declaring that man is a child of God; the creature-Creator relationship was placed on a child-parent basis. God becomes a loving Father to his mortal sons and daughters. All ceremonials not a legitimate part of such an intimate family relationship are forever abrogated.

The gist of the matter is this: God, as conceived by Jesus, receives and forgives the sinner, not for the purity of heart and life he has actually attained,

103:4.5 God the Father deals with man his child on the basis, not of actual virtue or worthiness,

URANTIA PAPER 103

but for that which he penitently and faithfully strives to attain (G 105).

but in recognition of the child's motivation—the creature purpose and intent.

The relationship is one of parent-child association and is actuated by divine love.

5. THE ORIGIN OF IDEALS

V: THE ESSENTIAL **IDEAL** (Garnett 123)

THE DISINTERESTED WILL (Garnett 123)

[contd] In our analysis of the processes concerned in the birth of religion in the individual we ... saw how the resultant conflict within the self led to the conviction that the demands of [the] altruistic will are demands of some superhuman agency, something divine. And we have seen how reflection on this experience has gradually expanded the concept of duty.

103:5.1 The early evolutionary mind gives origin to a feeling of social duty and moral obligation

derived chiefly from emotional fear.

From a few negative precepts concerning the avoidance of injury to others it has developed into ideals of positive service involving, if necessary, the ultimate sacrifice (G 123).

The more positive urge of social service and the idealism of altruism

are derived from the direct impulse of the divine spirit indwelling the human mind.

103:5.2 This idea-ideal of doing good to others—the impulse to deny the ego something for the benefit of one's neighbor—is very circumscribed at first.

URANTIA PAPER 103

[contd] Equally remarkable has been the expansion of the notion of the extent of the circle of those whose good it is believed the divine being would have us seek. First, it is the narrow social group—my neighbor, so long as he is neighborly (G 123).

Primitive man regards as neighbor only those very close to him, those who treat him neighborly;

In the course of time "my neighbors" comes to include the whole tribe, the nation, and neighbor nations; but still with the same proviso, "so long as they are neighborly," and usually also with differentiations concerning race, sex, caste and creed.

as religious civilization advances, one's neighbor expands in concept to embrace the clan, the tribe, the nation.

Then finally, through the life and work of the Galilean teacher, there dawns on the world the ideal that would eliminate the last proviso and break down every barrier. And then Jesus enlarged the neighbor scope to embrace the whole of humanity,

It makes no difference whether my neighbor is neighborly or not. God would have me love even mine enemy (G 123-24).

even that we should love our enemies.

[contd] The extraordinary thing is that, though the modern man scarcely does more than lip service to this ideal in its completeness, it yet commends itself to his moral judgment (G 124).

And there is something inside of every normal human being that tells him this teaching is moral—right.

Today it is the most universally accepted principle of moral philosophy,

Even those who practice this ideal least, admit that it is right in theory.

even among those who refuse to follow the prophets in calling the will that demands it divine (G 124). of this universal human urge to be unselfish and altruistic.

The humanist ascribes the origin of this urge to the natural working of the material mind;

URANTIA PAPER 103

But the sharp dichotomy that the religious

interpretation thus developed between the will of God and the will of man is an error.

The altruistic will is not always superior to the egoistic. It is not necessarily right.... The egoistic will is entirely right, and has an equal claim to be called divine, so long as it is pursuing the greatest good that seems, to the individual concerned, to be possible in the circumstances, taking into equal consideration his own good and that of others (G 125).

the religionist more correctly recognizes that the truly unselfish drive of mortal mind is in response to the inner spirit leadings of the Thought Adjuster.

103:5.4 But man's interpretation of these early conflicts between the ego-will and the other-than-self-will is not always dependable.

Only a fairly well unified personality can arbitrate the multiform contentions of the ego cravings and the budding social consciousness. The self has rights as well as one's neighbors. Neither has exclusive claims upon the attention and service of the individual. Failure to resolve this problem gives origin to the earliest type of human guilt feelings.

103:5.5 Human happiness is achieved only when the ego desire of the self and the altruistic urge of the higher self (divine spirit) are co-ordinated and reconciled by the unified will of the integrating and supervising personality.

URANTIA PAPER 103

REFUTATION OF EGOISM (Garnett 127)

The mind of evolutionary man is ever confronted with the intricate problem of refereeing the contest between

Altruistic idealism goes far beyond [instincts of the family and the herd]. And its broad extension is due not so much to *emotional expansion of natural impulses* under the influence of suggestion

the natural expansion of emotional impulses

and the moral growth of unselfish urges predicated on spiritual insight—

so much as it is to calm *reflection* on what is morally fitting and logically implied in the best moral judgments (G 128-29).

genuine religious reflection.

THE GOOD AS PERSONAL DEVELOPMENT (Garnett 133)

[Compare G 134.]

103:5.6 The attempt to secure equal good for the self and for the greatest number of other selves presents a problem which cannot always be satisfactorily resolved in a time-space frame.

In general, the natural good is, in the long run, achieved most fully by adhering to what is morally good also, for departures from the fundamental principle of personality must in the end lead to self-stultifying conflict which inhibits further development until rectified. So, if the "long run" of life were eternal, to be true to the morally good would also entail the greatest natural good, not only for others but for the performer of the moral action also.

Given an eternal life, such antagonisms can be worked out,

but in one short human life they are incapable of solution.

URANTIA PAPER 103

It is this faith that lies behind the concept involved in the paradoxical saying of Jesus:

Jesus referred to such a paradox when he said:

"For whosoever will save his life shall lose it: and whosoever will lose his life for my sake shall find it" (G 136).

"Whosoever shall save his life shall lose it, but whosoever shall lose his life for the sake of the kingdom, shall find it."

MORAL GOOD AND FAITH IN IMMORTALITY (Garnett 136)

103:5.7 The pursuit of the ideal—the striving to be Godlike—is a continuous effort before death and after. The life after death is no different in the essentials than the mortal existence. Everything we do in this life which is good contributes directly to the enhancement of the future life.

Real religion does not foster moral indolence and spiritual laziness by

The concept of an immortality of static perfection is the product of an inadequate analysis of the nature of personality and its moral good. It is, unfortunately, a very serious error, for it presupposes the sudden and miraculous transition of personality from a state of imperfection to one of perfection (G 137).

encouraging the vain hope of having all the virtues of a noble character bestowed upon one as a result of passing through the portals of natural death.

True religion does not belittle man's efforts to progress during the mortal lease on life.

The faith in immortality then becomes the faith that this process of personal development begun in this life does not necessarily cease with death, but goes on to the realization of further goals, making good its deficiencies so far as it is willing to learn from past experience. [contd next pg.]

URANTIA PAPER 103

And it means that both the natural and the moral good attained in this life—the whole personal development—

Every mortal gain

contribute to the initial stages of the life beyond (G 138).

is a direct contribution to the enrichment of the first stages of the immortal survival experience.

MORAL GOOD AND FAITH IN THE DIVINE TRANSCENDENCE (Garnett 139)

If a man believes that his ideals are ... merely the effect of some sympathetic tendencies and herd impulses of his nature which are of no deeper significance than many other natural tendencies within him, then the rational thing for him to do is to seek to control all these natural tendencies in what seems to be his own self-interest.

103:5.8 It is fatal to man's idealism when he is taught that all of his altruistic impulses are merely the development of his natural herd instincts.

But if he believes that the disinterested will to the good of all is the most fundamental tendency of his nature, that it is the expression of that which is eternal within him, ... then the ideals toward which that disinterested will aspires become the most significant features of his whole world.... They help to give him courage, assurance, and a zeal that will not be denied (G 141-42).

But he is ennobled and mightily energized when he learns that these higher urges of his soul emanate from the spiritual forces that indwell his mortal mind.

And the effort to justify faith in the superhuman origin of our ideals of disinterested service, far from being a waste of time and useless distraction, as urged by Dewey, is a much needed contribution

to the support of the human spirit in the

pursuit of those ideals (G 142).

beyond himself when he once fully realizes that there lives and strives within him something which is eternal and divine. And so it is that

103:5.9 It lifts man out of himself and

a living faith in the superhuman origin of our ideals

Mankind today, as in every age, deeply needs that sense of human brotherhood which comes from a faith that we are all, in some very real sense, children of one Father (G 144).

But Hartmann is certainly not justified in assuming that there is no middle ground between such an assertion of the sovereignty of God as robs man of all true freedom and responsibility,

and a complete abandonment of all superhuman, or cosmic teleology (G 145-46).

[There is a realm of human experience in which we have the power of decision. While this domain over which we have control varies in different individuals, it is nevertheless a fact that man is, within certain limits, the architect of his own destiny (William S. Sadler, M.D., How You Can Keep Happy [1926], p. 2).]

THE SIGNIFICANCE OF FREEDOM (Garnett 147)

There is no *development* of personality that does not spring spontaneously from within in response to values felt or anticipated.

External pressures do not develop personality, though they may deform it (G 147).

URANTIA PAPER 103

validates our belief that we are the sons of God

and makes real our altruistic convictions, the feelings of the brotherhood of man.

103:5.10 Man, in his spiritual domain, does have a free will.

Mortal man is neither a helpless slave of the inflexible sovereignty of an allpowerful God

nor the victim of the hopeless fatality of a mechanistic cosmic determinism.

Man is most truly the architect of his own eternal destiny.

103:5.11 But man is not saved or ennobled by pressure.

Spirit growth springs from within the evolving soul.

Pressure may deform the personality, but it never stimulates growth.

Educative pressure ... does not contribute directly to personal development, but only indirectly in that it saves from disaster.

Actual personal development comes through the positive response from within. It flourishes most, therefore, where pressure is least (G 147).

[Now the Lord is the Spirit, and where the Spirit of the Lord is, there is freedom (2 Cor. 3:17).]

The family, the local community, the church and the state all set limits to the freedom of the individual and make demands upon him.

This raises the question of the value of the religious group, the church. The local community is inevitable, and few question the necessity of the family and the state. But there are many who believe that religion might well become a purely intellectual matter and so would remove the church as an unnecessary restriction upon freedom. It becomes a matter of great importance, therefore, to consider the place of the church in the religious life of the individual and in the social life of the community (G 147).

URANTIA PAPER 103

Even educational pressure is only negatively helpful in that it may aid in the prevention of disastrous experiences.

Spiritual growth is greatest where all external pressures are at a minimum.

"Where the spirit of the Lord is, there is freedom."

Man develops best when the pressures of

home, community, church, and state are least.

But this must not be construed as meaning that

there is no place in a progressive society for home, social institutions, church, and state.

103:5.12 When a member of a social religious group has complied with the requirements of such a group, he should be encouraged to enjoy religious liberty in the full expression of his own personal interpretation of the truths of religious belief and the facts of religious experience.

URANTIA PAPER 103

[The churches] have blighted the free personal development (the truest good) of their own members and of many outside. They have done this in part because of a belief that correctness of doctrine is essential to personal salvation, and in part because unanimity on doctrine seemed necessary to the unity and efficiency of the church in all its work,

so that the exclusion of the dissenter and unbeliever seemed the lesser of two evils (G 149).

This does not mean that [the church of the future] must be a community of "free-thinkers" in the current negative sense of the term. But it does mean that it must have room in full fellowship for all people, whatever their opinions on theological questions (G 149).

The security of a religious group depends on <u>spiritual</u> unity, not on <u>theological</u> uniformity.

A religious group should be able to enjoy the liberty of freethinking without having to become "freethinkers."

There is great hope for any church that worships the living God, validates the brotherhood of man, and dares to remove all creedal pressure from its members.

URANTIA PAPER 103

6. PHILOSOPHIC CO-ORDINATION

I, I: WHAT THEOLOGY IS (Baillie 3)

I. THEOLOGICAL SCIENCE DEFINED (Baillie 3)

Theology, then, is to be reckoned along with psychology, logic, epistemology, ethics, sociology, political theory, musical theory, etc., as a science not of nature but of spirit (B 4-5).

103:6.1 Theology is the study of the actions and reactions of the human spirit;

must always be combined more or less with psychology in its personal expression and with philosophy in its systematic portrayal.

it can never become a science since it

[[I]t is only from within that the religious consciousness can be properly studied ... There is thus a very real sense in which the object of the theologian's inquiry is always his *own* religious consciousness or, what is the same thing, the religious consciousness of the community to which he belongs as reflected in his own private experience (B 113).]

Theology is always the study of *your* religion;

Theology being thus classed as a *Geisteswissenschaft*, or science of spirit, it next becomes necessary to ask what is the main respect in which such sciences differ from natural science. The answer seems to be that in them we are approaching the objects of our research no longer from the outside

the study of another's religion is psychology.

<u>103:6.2</u> When man approaches the study and examination of his universe from the *outside*,

he brings into being the various physical sciences;

when he approaches the research of himself and the universe from the *inside*,

but *from the inside*.

URANTIA PAPER 103

he gives origin to theology and metaphysics.

The <u>later</u> art of philosophy develops in an effort to harmonize the many discrepancies which are destined at first to appear between the findings and teachings of these two diametrically opposite avenues of approaching the universe of things and beings.

103:6.3 Religion has to do with the spiritual viewpoint, the awareness of

Indeed it is possible that spirit should be regarded as no more than another name for the insideness of things

the *insideness* of human experience.

and that, as has been well said, "The soul of man

Man's spiritual nature

is the universe turned outside in";

affords him the opportunity of turning the universe outside in.

from which it would follow that, as so many celebrated philosophers have believed. It is therefore true that,

there is nothing in the universe which would not, if only we could view it from within, turn out to be spiritual in nature (B 5).

viewed exclusively from the insideness of personality experience, all creation appears to be spiritual in nature.

103:6.4 When man analytically inspects the universe through the material endowments of his physical senses and associated mind perception, the cosmos appears to be mechanical and energymaterial. Such a technique of studying reality consists in turning the universe inside out.

103:6.5 A logical and consistent philosophic concept of the universe cannot be built up on the postulations of either materialism or spiritism, for both of these systems of thinking, when universally applied, are compelled to view the cosmos in distortion, the former contacting with a universe turned inside out, the latter realizing the nature of a universe turned outside in. Never, then, can either science or religion, in and of themselves, standing alone, hope to gain an adequate understanding of universal truths and relationships without the guidance of human philosophy and the illumination of divine revelation.

103:6.6 Always must man's inner spirit depend for its expression and selfrealization upon the mechanism and technique of the mind. Likewise must man's outer experience of material reality be predicated on the mind consciousness of the experiencing personality. Therefore are the spiritual and the material, the inner and the outer, human experiences always correlated with the mind function and conditioned, as to their conscious realization, by the mind activity. Man experiences matter in his mind; he experiences spiritual reality in the soul but becomes conscious of this experience in his mind. The intellect is the harmonizer and the ever-present conditioner and qualifier of the sum total of mortal experience. Both energy-things and spirit values are colored by their interpretation through the mind media of consciousness.

URANTIA PAPER 103

103:6.7 Your difficulty in arriving at a more harmonious co-ordination between science and religion is due to your utter ignorance of the intervening domain of the morontia world of things and beings. The local universe consists of three degrees, or stages, of reality manifestation: matter, morontia, and spirit. The morontia angle of approach erases all divergence between the findings of the physical sciences and the functioning of the spirit of religion.

II. THE PROBLEM OF THEOLOGICAL SCIENCE (Baillie 11)

[contd] For one further step in the point of view as scientific theologians we cannot do better than follow the original guidance of Socrates. What exactly is meant by *understanding*, or giving account of, religion? ... To understand a thing, he said, is just to know what it is. It follows that every scientific inquiry may be expressed in the form, What is it?

and that the purpose of the inquiry is accomplished when we succeed in obtaining full and clear insight as to what the phenomenon in question really and essentially is (B 11).

Reason is the understanding technique of the sciences;

faith is the insight technique of religion;

mota is the technique of the morontia level. Mota is a supermaterial reality sensitivity which is beginning to compensate incomplete growth, having for its substance knowledge-reason and for its essence faith-insight. Mota is a superphilosophical reconciliation of divergent reality perception which is nonattainable by material personalities; it is predicated, in part, on the experience of having survived the material life of the flesh.

SOURCE OR PARALLEL

I, II: THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO OTHER BRANCHES OF SCIENTIFIC STUDY (Baillie 26)

III: THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO GENERAL OR METAPHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY (Baillie 35)

But many mortals have recognized the desirability of having some method of reconciling the interplay between the widely separated domains of science and religion;

(A) Kant, Schleiermacher, Ritschl, and all the Ritschlians—which is to say the most influential leaders of modern theology—all understood metaphysics to mean (which, indeed, the name seems to suggest, if it suggests anything)

and metaphysics is

an attempt so to extend the methods and operations of natural science as to make them yield reliable knowledge about the nature of the universe as a whole (B 36).

the result of man's unavailing attempt to span this well-recognized chasm.

But human metaphysics has proved more confusing than illuminating. Metaphysics stands for man's well-meant but futile effort to compensate for the absence of the mota of morontia.

It is well known how Kant himself denied that by the contemplation of the natural world *any light at all* could be had about the ultimate meaning of things, and how he consequently held that metaphysics (in his sense of the word) was impossible (B 36).

<u>103:6.8</u> Metaphysics has proved a failure;

mota, man cannot perceive. Revelation is the only technique which can compensate for the absence of the truth sensitivity of mota in a material world. Revelation authoritatively clarifies the muddle of reason-developed metaphysics on an evolutionary sphere.

URANTIA PAPER 103

IX: A LIVING RELIGION (Taylor 215)

SCIENCE AND RELIGION (Taylor 221)

103:6.9 Science is man's attempted study of his physical environment, the world of energy-matter; religion is man's experience with the cosmos of spirit values;

Philosophy seeks by the power of reflective thought to

philosophy has been developed by man's mind effort to

interpret the findings of science and the aspirations of the human spirit, and to organize them

organize and correlate the findings of these widely separated concepts

into a meaningful whole (T 223).

into something like a reasonable and unified attitude toward the cosmos.

Philosophy, clarified by revelation, functions acceptably in the absence of mota and in the presence of the breakdown and failure of man's reason substitute for mota—metaphysics.

I, I: WHAT THEOLOGY IS (Baillie 3)

II. THE PROBLEM OF THEOLOGICAL SCIENCE (Baillie 11)

[See endnote.]

103:6.10 Early man did not differentiate between the energy level and the spirit level. It was the violet race and their Andite successors who first attempted to divorce the mathematical from the volitional.¹

[Compare: [I]n thus preferring to speak of the laws of change, rather than of the causes of events, we of the twentieth century are but reverting to the very earliest formulations with which science started on its way. Indeed the earliest Greek scientists put the matter more simply and excellently still,

Increasingly has civilized man followed in the footsteps of the earliest Greeks and the Sumerians

URANTIA PAPER 103

when they said that the task of science was to discover *physis*, which, being translated into English, means precisely "the way things grow" or "the way things change" (B 12).]

who <u>distinguished between the inanimate</u> and the animate.

And as civilization progresses, philosophy will have to bridge everwidening gulfs between the spirit concept and the energy concept. But in the time of space these divergencies are at one in the Supreme.

IV. THE NATURE OF THEOLOGICAL CRITICISM (Baillie 18)

<u>103:6.11</u> Science must always be grounded in reason, although imagination and conjecture are helpful in the extension of its borders.

Religion is forever dependent on faith,

But while it is thus completely wrong in principle to expect from the study of theology that it should either bring faith originally to birth in our souls or give it a securer grounding in them than it has in the souls of other men, yet on the other hand there is undoubtedly a real service which theology is able to render towards the establishment of faith in the world (B 24).

albeit reason is a stabilizing influence and a helpful handmaid.

And always there have been, and ever will be,

There is no doubt at all that the major part of the unbelief that now afflicts the world is due ... to the inhibitive influence of what are really *false theologies*, false explanations of religion, overhastily arrived at by workers whose main concern was in other fields ... (B 25).

misleading interpretations of the phenomena of both the natural and the spiritual worlds,

[O Timothy, keep that which is committed to thy trust, avoiding profane and vain babblings, and oppositions of science falsely so called: (1 Tim. 6)]

sciences and religions falsely so called.

I, II: THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO OTHER BRANCHES OF SCIENTIFIC STUDY (Baillie 26)

III: THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO GENERAL OR METAPHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY (Baillie 35)

(B) It seems preferable ... to use the word philosophy in a wider sense, taking it to mean an attempt to gather together in a single synoptic view all the evidence as to the ultimate nature of existence which we are able to gain from any and every quarter.... The business of what he calls speculative philosophy is thus described by Dr. C. D. Broad, a leading philosopher of the Cambridge school, in his book on Scientific Thought:

"Its object is to take over the results of the various sciences, to add to them the results of the religious and ethical experiences of mankind, and then to reflect upon the whole.

103:6.12 Out of his incomplete grasp of science, his faint hold upon religion, and his abortive attempts at metaphysics,

man has attempted to construct his formulations of philosophy.

And modern man would indeed build a worthy and engaging philosophy of himself and his universe were it not for the breakdown of his all-important and indispensable metaphysical connection between the worlds of matter and spirit, the failure of metaphysics to bridge the morontia gulf between the physical and the spiritual. Mortal man lacks the concept of morontia mind and material; and revelation is the only technique for atoning for this deficiency in the conceptual data which man so urgently needs

The hope is that, by this means, we may be able to reach some general conclusions as to the nature of the Universe, and as to our position and prospects in it" (B 37).

in order to construct a logical philosophy of the universe and to arrive at a satisfying understanding of his sure and settled place in that universe.

URANTIA PAPER 103

man's only hope of bridging the morontia gulf. Faith and reason, unaided by mota, cannot conceive and construct a logical universe. Without the insight of mota, mortal man cannot discern goodness, love, and truth in the phenomena of the material world.

[T]he determining factor in the formation of philosophical systems has again and again been the initial presence or absence of religious faith in the philosopher's heart. The matter is important enough to excuse our looking into it in some detail, and we may set out from a very clear statement by the Professor of Logic and Metaphysics in Edinburgh University [Norman Kemp Smith]:

103:6.14 When the philosophy of man leans heavily toward the world of matter,

"Though philosophical systems vary indefinitely, they are reducible, broadly considered, to three main types. They are either idealistic, naturalistic, or sceptical.

it becomes rationalistic or *naturalistic*.

When philosophy inclines particularly toward the spiritual level, it becomes *idealistic* or even mystical. When philosophy is so unfortunate as to lean upon metaphysics, it unfailingly becomes *skeptical*, confused.

Under one or other of these three rubrics every philosophy can be brought; and at every period in which free discussion has been possible, we find the sum total of knowledge and experience being interpreted from these divergent points of view. The three types are, it would seem, perennial in the fluctuations of human thought" (B 39).

In past ages, <u>most</u> of man's knowledge and intellectual evaluations have fallen into one of these three distortions of perception.

Philosophy dare not project its interpretations of reality in the linear fashion of logic; it must never fail to reckon with the elliptic symmetry of reality and with the essential curvature of all relation concepts.

philosophy of mortal man must be logically based on the reason of science, the faith of religion, and the truth insight afforded by revelation. By this union man can compensate somewhat for his failure to develop an adequate metaphysics and for his inability to comprehend the mota of the morontia.

7. SCIENCE AND RELIGION

103:7.1 Science is sustained by reason, religion by faith. Faith, though not predicated on reason, is reasonable; though independent of logic, it is nonetheless encouraged by sound logic.

We are accordingly safe in concluding that religious faith cannot be substantiated by appeal to any <u>idealistic</u> philosophy,

Faith cannot be nourished even by an <u>ideal</u> philosophy;

because it is itself the ultimate source of all such philosophies; just as it cannot be discredited by appeal to any naturalistic philosophy, because no philosophy could be naturalistic which had not begun by discrediting it (B 41).

indeed, it is, with science, the very source of such a philosophy.

Faith, human religious insight, can be surely instructed only by revelation, can be surely elevated only by personal mortal experience with the spiritual Adjuster presence of the God who is spirit.

103:7.2 True salvation is the technique of the divine evolution of the mortal mind from matter identification through the realms of morontia liaison to the high universe status of spiritual correlation. And as material intuitive instinct precedes the appearance of reasoned knowledge in terrestrial evolution, so does the manifestation of spiritual intuitive insight presage the later appearance of morontia and spirit reason and experience in the supernal program of celestial evolution, the business of transmuting the potentials of man the temporal into the actuality and divinity of man the eternal, a Paradise finaliter.

103:7.3 But as ascending man reaches inward and Paradiseward for the God experience, he will likewise be reaching outward and spaceward for an energy understanding of the material cosmos. The progression of science is not limited to the terrestrial life of man; his universe and superuniverse ascension experience will to no small degree be the study of energy transmutation and material metamorphosis. God is spirit, but Deity is unity, and the unity of Deity not only embraces the spiritual values of the Universal Father and the Eternal Son but is also cognizant of the energy facts of the Universal Controller and the Isle of Paradise, while these two phases of universal reality are perfectly correlated in the mind relationships of the Conjoint Actor and unified on the finite level in the emerging Deity of the Supreme Being.

URANTIA PAPER 103

103:7.4 The union of the scientific attitude and the religious insight by the mediation of experiential philosophy is part of man's long Paradise-ascension experience. The approximations of mathematics and the certainties of insight will always require the harmonizing function of mind logic on all levels of experience short of the maximum attainment of the Supreme.

II. THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO THE OTHER SPECIAL SCIENCES, ESPECIALLY TO NATURAL SCIENCE (Baillie 31)

When an apparent conflict arises between the findings of [theology and natural science], it is the duty of each to go back to its own set of facts and work over them afresh and, if necessary, again and again, in the hope that one or the other may in the end discover some error in its reasonings and the conflict be thus resolved.

It is quite wrong in principle for the natural scientist who is also a religious man to incorporate into his science results which he has arrived at by religious insight or theological formulation alone ...; and it is as wrong in principle for the theologian who has also some interest in natural science to incorporate into his theology, without further ado, results obtained from natural-scientific sources, and to assume these to be theologically satisfactory even though religious insight seems to point in an opposite direction (B 34-35).

103:7.5 But <u>logic</u> can never succeed in harmonizing the <u>findings</u> of science and the insights of religion

unless both the scientific and the religious aspects of a personality

are truth dominated, sincerely desirous of following the truth wherever it may lead regardless of the conclusions which it may reach.

III. THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO GENERAL OR METAPHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY (Baillie 35)

(C) We now come to a third and very different way in which the task of general or metaphysical philosophy is sometimes conceived.... Dr. Broad gives it the distinguishing name of 'critical philosophy'... The task of critical philosophy he takes to be "the analysis and definition of our fundamental concepts, and the clear statement and resolute criticism of our fundamental beliefs," ...

Is then 'critical philosophy' but another name for logic? Some would answer this question in the affirmative; and Mr. Bertrand Russell has told us that logic, understood as the attempt to formulate the most general characteristics of reality, is the essence of all philosophy (B 41-43).

103:7.6 Logic is the technique of philosophy, its method of expression.²

Within the domain of true science, reason is always amenable to genuine logic; within the domain of true religion, faith is always logical from the basis of an inner viewpoint, even though such faith may appear to be quite unfounded from the inlooking viewpoint of the scientific approach. From outward, looking within, the universe may appear to be material; from within, looking out, the same universe appears to be wholly spiritual. Reason grows out of material awareness, faith out of spiritual awareness, but through the mediation of a philosophy strengthened by revelation, logic may confirm both the inward and the outward view, thereby effecting the stabilization of both science and religion. Thus, through common contact with the logic of philosophy, may both science and religion become increasingly tolerant of each other, less and less skeptical.

URANTIA PAPER 103

It is true that certain sciences have often not been critical *enough* of their own powers or *sufficiently* aware of their own just limits; for science, like other products of human nature, may suffer from the malady that is vulgarly termed "swelled head." But all sciences *ought* to subject themselves to the most searching and deep-going criticism; and if there be any part of themselves of which they should be more critical than of the rest, that part is surely their general standpoint, their initial assumptions, their fundamental principles—for it is on these that everything else turns (B 45).

103:7.7 What both developing science and religion need is more searching and fearless self-criticism,

a greater awareness of incompleteness in evolutionary status. The teachers of both science and religion are often altogether too self-confident and dogmatic.

I, I: WHAT THEOLOGY IS (Baillie 3)

IV. THE NATURE OF THEOLOGICAL CRITICISM (Baillie 18)

The first point to note is that the theologian's criticism of the facts before him must never be conducted *ab extra*, but always from within.... His criticism of [the religious consciousness] consists simply in allowing it to criticise itself (B 18).

Science and religion can only be self-critical of their *facts*.

The moment departure is made from the stage of facts, reason abdicates or else rapidly degenerates into a consort of false logic.

[Compare B 18-19.]

103:7.8 The truth—an understanding of cosmic relationships, universe facts, and spiritual values—can best be had through the ministry of the Spirit of Truth and can best be criticized by revelation.

URANTIA PAPER 103

But revelation originates neither a science nor a religion; its function is to co-ordinate both science and religion with the truth of reality. Always, in the absence of revelation or in the failure to accept or grasp it, has mortal man resorted to his futile gesture of metaphysics, that being the only human substitute for the revelation of truth or for the mota of morontia personality.

103:7.9 The science of the material world enables man to control, and to some extent dominate, his physical environment. The religion of the spiritual experience is the source of the fraternity impulse which enables men to live together in the complexities of the civilization of a scientific age. Metaphysics, but more certainly revelation, affords a common meeting ground for the discoveries of both science and religion and makes possible the human attempt logically to correlate these separate but interdependent domains of thought into a well-balanced philosophy of scientific stability and religious certainty.

I, II: THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO OTHER BRANCHES OF SCIENTIFIC STUDY (Baillie 26)

III: THE RELATION OF THEOLOGY TO GENERAL OR METAPHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY (Baillie 35)

It is indeed true, as was pointed out at length in our first chapter, that the moral scientist's business is simply to understand the moral point of view, and not to provide himself with any assurance or proof of its validity;

and it is also true that the moral scientist (if he be to any degree a fit member of society) begins by assuming its validity.

103:7.10 In the mortal state, nothing can be absolutely proved;

both science and religion are predicated on assumptions.

SOURCE OR PARALLEL

But he assumes it not because he does not conceive it to be his particular business to prove it but rather because he does not feel that it is the sort of thing that needs or is capable of, proof; and because, as an intelligent being, he finds himself unable to doubt it (B 47).

Aristotle, as we have seen, teaches that every science sets out from certain beliefs which it assumes to be true and does not attempt to prove (B 47).

That is to say, we need no science to assure us of the truth of first principles, for their truth is evident to every man on inspection, and (as he says) we become aware of them not by demonstrative science but by *Nous*—as we might say, by the direct intuition of our intelligent natures (B 47).

[[Dr. C.D. Broad] holds also that the most fundamental concepts which are peculiar to the region of physical science, like motion, space, matter, are not properly to be discussed by physical science itself, but only by critical philosophy (B 43).]

On the morontia level, the postulates of both science and religion are capable of partial proof by mota logic. On the spiritual level of maximum status, the need for finite proof gradually vanishes before the actual experience of and with reality; but even then there is much beyond the finite that remains unproved.

103:7.11 All divisions of human thought are predicated on certain assumptions which are accepted, though unproved,

by the constitutive reality sensitivity of the mind endowment of man.

Science starts out on its vaunted career of reasoning by *assuming* the reality of three things: matter, motion, and life.

Religion starts out with the assumption of the validity of three things: mind, spirit, and the universe—the Supreme Being.

URANTIA PAPER 103

103:7.12 Science becomes the thought domain of mathematics, of the energy and material of time in space. Religion assumes to deal not only with finite and temporal spirit but also with the spirit of eternity and supremacy. Only through a long experience in mota can these two extremes of universe perception be made to yield analogous interpretations of origins, functions, relations, realities, and destinies. The maximum harmonization of the energy-spirit divergence is in the encircuitment of the Seven Master Spirits; the first unification thereof, in the Deity of the Supreme; the finality unity thereof, in the infinity of the First Source and Center, the I AM.

103:7.13 *Reason* is the act of recognizing the conclusions of consciousness with regard to the experience in and with the physical world of energy and matter.

It is in a real sense true (as we saw at the end of Chapter 1) that theology, considered as a science, has no special means of its own whereby it can demonstrate the fundamental validity of the religious consciousness and the fundamental truth of religious belief. In the end all it can do is to take over into itself the assurance which already and natively belongs to faith (B 48).

Faith is the act of recognizing the validity of spiritual consciousness—something which is incapable of other mortal proof.

Logic is the synthetic truth-seeking progression of the unity of faith and reason and is founded on the constitutive mind endowments of mortal beings, the innate recognition of things, meanings, and values.

URANTIA PAPER 103

103:7.14 There is a real proof of spiritual reality in the presence of the Thought Adjuster, but the validity of this presence is not demonstrable to the external world, only to the one who thus experiences the indwelling of God. The consciousness of the Adjuster is based on the intellectual reception of truth, the supermind perception of goodness, and the personality motivation to love.

103:7.15 Science discovers the material world, religion evaluates it, and philosophy endeavors to interpret its meanings while co-ordinating the scientific material viewpoint with the religious spiritual concept. But history is a realm in which science and religion may never fully agree.

8. PHILOSOPHY AND RELIGION

I: THE RIGHT TO BE CERTAIN (Lewis 17)

That there *may be* a God can be shown by reasons that would be called good respecting any other fact: we therefore get our "probability."

That there *is* a God is the testimony of religious experience,

the experience being held to require a correlative in reality just as much as any other type of normal experience: we therefore get our "certainty."

103:8.1 Although both science and philosophy may assume the probability of God by their reason and logic,

only the personal religious experience of a spirit-led man can affirm the certainty of such a supreme and personal Deity.

URANTIA PAPER 103

The philosophical hypothesis becomes the religious reality when the conditions for the transformation are met (L 25).

By the technique of such an incarnation of living truth the philosophic hypothesis of the probability of God becomes a religious reality.

103:8.2 The confusion about the experience of the certainty of God arises out of the dissimilar interpretations and relations of that experience by separate individuals and by different races of men.

Statements made *about* God are not to be put on the same level as the indubitable certainty of the fact of God as yielded by the process of religious experience.

The experiencing of God may be wholly valid, but the discourse *about* God, being intellectual and philosophical, is divergent and oftentimes confusingly fallacious.

A man may be certain that he loves his wife and just as certain that she loves him,

103:8.3 A good and noble man may be consummately in love with his wife

and yet be quite unable to pass an examination in "the physiology of love,"

but utterly unable to pass a satisfactory written examination on the <u>psychology</u> of marital love.

while another man

Another man,

may pass the examination and still know nothing whatever of what it means to love or be loved (L 25-26).

having little or no love for his spouse, might pass such an examination most acceptably.

The blindness of the lover to the imperfections of his beloved

The imperfection of the lover's insight into the true nature of the beloved

does not invalidate his love (L 26).

does not in the least invalidate either the reality or sincerity of his love.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Men of religious faith, therefore,

103:8.4 If you truly believe in God—by faith know him and love him—

need to be wary of taking too seriously the attacks on the reality of God made by those who admittedly have not that faith. do not permit the reality of such an experience to be in any way lessened or detracted from by the doubting insinuations of science, the caviling of logic, the postulates of philosophy,

It is true that many earnest-minded thinkers to-day are endeavoring to keep some semblance of faith—"faith in value"—and some semblance of religion—"the religion of humanity"—while yet utterly repudiating the fact of God in any adequate sense (L 26).

or the clever suggestions of well-meaning souls who would create a religion without God.

Instead of our being disturbed in our certainty by his uncertainty

103:8.5 The certainty of the Godknowing religionist should not be disturbed by the uncertainty of the doubting materialist;

we ought to see to it that he is disturbed in his uncertainty by our certainty (L 27).

rather should the uncertainty of the unbeliever be mightily challenged by the profound faith and unshakable certainty of the experiential believer.

IV: PHILOSOPHY AND THEOLOGY (Knudson 146)

METAPHYSICAL PHILOSOPHY INTEL-LECTUALLY GROUNDED (Knudson 161)

103:8.6 Philosophy, to be of the greatest service to both science and religion, should avoid the extremes of both

Materialism denies both the reality and worth of spirit and thus negates religion. Faith can form no alliance with it.... Pantheism also in its more radical and distinctive form is destructive of faith, for it denies freedom and reduces spirit to the level of things (K 161).

materialism and pantheism.

SOURCE OR PARALLEL

[C]ausality implies change and it also implies permanence. There must be some abiding being that produces the change; otherwise the change would not be accounted for. And this union of permanence and change inherent in causality is found, as we have seen, only in personality. A personalistic philosophy

thus solves the problem of metaphysical causality and at the same time furnishes a foundation for the Christian belief in the divine creatorship and providence (K 169-70).

Only a philosophy which recognizes the reality of personality—permanence in the presence of change—

can be of moral value to man, can serve as a liaison between the theories of material science and spiritual religion.

Revelation is a compensation for the frailties of evolving philosophy.

9. THE ESSENCE OF RELIGION

I: RELIGION AND THEOLOGY (Knudson 19)

[PREAMBLE] (Knudson 19)

[contd] Theology may be defined as the systematic exposition and rational justification of the intellectual content of religion (K 19). 103:9.1 Theology deals with the intellectual content of religion,

metaphysics (revelation)³ with the philosophic aspects. Religious experience *is* the spiritual content of religion.

URANTIA PAPER 103

ILLUSIONISM (Knudson 20)

The first [type of illusionism] finds the source of religion in some unworthy or pathological or misguided element in human nature. This may be called "psychological illusionism."

The second derives religion from the unjust structure of human society and the evils that result from it. To this type of illusionism the term "sociological" may be applied (K 21).

Of [the] less extreme illusionistic theories perhaps the most interesting is that represented by Emile Durkheim. It is his contention that religion is an essential and permanent aspect of humanity....

But this is true of religion only in its essence, and its essence is wholly practical in nature. It helps us to *act*, to *live*, but not to *think*.

Thinking is the business of science (K 32).

Notwithstanding the mythologic vagaries and the psychologic illusions

of the intellectual content of religion,

the metaphysical assumptions of error and the techniques of self-deception,

the political distortions and the socioeconomic perversions

of the philosophic content of religion,

the spiritual experience of personal religion remains genuine and valid.

103:9.2 Religion has to do with feeling, acting, and living, not merely with thinking.

Thinking is more closely related to the material life and should be in the main, but not altogether, dominated by reason and the facts of science

and, in its nonmaterial reaches toward the spirit realms, by truth.

URANTIA PAPER 103

All religions are true [according to Durkheim] in the sense that they serve an indispensable practical purpose. They have, however, in their purity no intellectual content, no cognitive function.... A sharp distinction must thus be drawn between religion and theology. The latter is illusion and may be sloughed off without loss,

No matter how illusory and erroneous one's theology,

but the former is a necessary and permanent factor of human society (K 32-33).

one's religion may be wholly genuine and everlastingly true.

THE NATURE OF RELIGION (Knudson 45)

Buddhism is ... not infrequently cited as evidence that the feeling of trustful dependence is no essential ingredient of religious experience. But this appeal to Buddhism is of doubtful validity. For one thing, it is a question whether original or *Hinayana* Buddhism was a religion in the strict sense of the term....

103:9.3 Buddhism in its original form is one of the best religions without a God which has arisen throughout all the evolutionary history of Urantia,

And the fact that in the process of becoming a popular faith it was transformed into a polytheistic system is a strong indication that in its earlier form it was at least defective as a religion (K 49).

although, as this faith developed, it did not remain godless.

ILLUSIONISM (Knudson 20)

Religion without "faith" is a contradiction in terms (K 38).

Religion without faith is a contradiction;

without God, a philosophic inconsistency and an intellectual absurdity.

URANTIA PAPER 103

MAGIC AND MYTHOLOGY (Knudson 38)

parentage of natural religion does not invalidate the reality and truth of the later revelational religions and the consummate saving gospel of the religion of Jesus. Jesus' life and teachings finally divested religion of the superstitions of magic, the illusions of mythology, and the bondage of traditional dogmatism.

Magic and mythology may prepare the ground for religion.

But this early magic and mythology very effectively prepared the way for later and superior religion

By assuming the reality of a superworld, personal or impersonal, they may make religious faith easier. But they are themselves not religion (K 42).

by assuming the existence and reality of supermaterial values and beings.

THE NATURE OF RELIGION (Knudson 45)

[contd] One significant point with reference to the nature of religion has thus far been established. It is that religion is not purely subjective;

103:9.5 Although religious experience is a purely spiritual subjective phenomenon,

it involves a personal attitude toward an objective realm of values.

such an experience embraces a positive and living faith attitude toward the highest realms of universe objective reality.

The ideal of religious philosophy is such

But this personal attitude is complex. There are in it at least three essential elements. One is that of trustful dependence upon a Higher Power.

a faith-trust

as would lead man unqualifiedly to

URANTIA PAPER 103

We might, with Schleiermacher, call it the feeling of *absolute dependence* (K 45).

depend upon the absolute <u>love of the infinite Father</u> of the universe of universes.

Another essential element in religion is the longing after life or redemption.... Religion is something more than the valid or invalid objectification of desire.

Such a genuine religious experience far transcends the philosophic objectification of idealistic desire:

But desire nevertheless plays an essential part in it. Without the longing for salvation or for a larger and fuller life, there would hardly be such a thing as religion (K 46-47).

it actually takes salvation for granted

The foregoing analysis has brought out what seem to me the fundamental and indispensable elements in the religious attitude toward the superworld. They are the feeling of trustful dependence, the longing after salvation and the sense of obligation to man as well as God. These

and concerns itself only with learning and doing the will of the Father in Paradise.

the faith, hope, and love which Paul singled out as the things in religion that abide (K 48-49).

elements correspond roughly to

The earmarks of such a religion are:

MYSTICAL AND PROPHETIC TYPES OF PIETY (Knudson 54)

faith in a supreme Deity, hope of eternal survival, and love, especially of one's fellows.

There has always been a danger that theology might become the master of religion rather than its servant. And whenever this has occurred, religion has lost its pristine power,

<u>103:9.6</u> When theology masters religion, religion dies;

it has become a doctrine instead of a life. This is the error or evil in scholasticism (K 63).

it becomes a doctrine instead of a life.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Indeed, [religion] has in its simplest form an implicit intellectual content. And the function of theology is to clarify, systematize, and logically justify this content. The content itself is ultimate and, in a sense, self-justifying, but imperfectly self-conscious and self-directive. What theology has to do is to bring it to self-consciousness, to guide it and to supplement it with rational grounds of belief (K 64).

The mission of theology is merely to facilitate the self-consciousness of personal spiritual experience.

Theology constitutes the religious effort to define, clarify, expound, and justify the experiential claims of religion,

which, in the last analysis, can be validated only by living faith.

II: THE CHRISTIAN FAITH AND THEOLOGY (Knudson 65)

FAITH AND REASON (Knudson 67)

In the higher philosophy of the universe,

Some have held that faith and reason are mutually antithetical.... Others have maintained that there is a kinship between them, but have interpreted this kinship in different ways, either subordinating one to the other or holding that they in some sense imply or supplement each other (K 67).

wisdom, like reason, becomes allied to faith.

The chief source [for the view that faith and reason are antithetical] is the religious feeling or conviction that [faith] is divinely imparted to us, while [reason or knowledge] is a human endowment or attainment (K 68).

Reason, wisdom, <u>and</u> faith are man's highest <u>human attainments</u>.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Reason introduces man to the world of facts, to things; wisdom introduces him to a world of truth, to relationships; faith initiates him into a world of divinity, spiritual experience.

Human knowledge [in the Augustinian view] has its limits. But these limits are not arbitrarily fixed by the nature either of faith or reason. Faith is not a barrier, but a challenge to reason. It invites rational investigation, reflection, justification. It does not spurn reason, but seeks co-operation with it (K 73).

103:9.7 Faith most willingly carries reason along as far as reason can go and then goes on with wisdom to the full philosophic limit;

and then it dares to launch out upon the limitless and never-ending universe journey in the sole company of TRUTH.

The older religious thought took little account of the presuppositions of knowledge.... But since the enunciation of the Kantian doctrine of the primacy of the practical reason increasing stress has been placed upon the volitional and vital factors that condition our common knowledge or supposed knowledge.... Take, for instance, our natural sciences. They assume that the world is intelligible and that we are able to understand it. Neither of these assumptions can be demonstrated. They rest upon an instinctive faith in reason and in the validity of our cognitive ideal (K 81).

<u>103:9.8</u> Science (knowledge) is founded on the inherent (adjutant spirit) assumption that reason is valid, that the universe can be comprehended.

Philosophy (co-ordinate comprehension) is founded on the inherent (spirit of wisdom) assumption that wisdom is valid, that the material universe can be co-ordinated with the spiritual.

URANTIA PAPER 103

Religion (the truth of personal spiritual experience) is founded on the inherent (Thought Adjuster) assumption that faith is valid, that God can be known and attained.

103:9.9 The full realization of the reality of mortal life consists in a progressive willingness to believe these assumptions of reason, wisdom, and faith. Such a life is one motivated by truth and dominated by love; and these are

The faith that underlies scientific knowledge is not, it is true, religious faith. But from the epistemological point of view the two kinds of faith are in principle alike, since both consist in assuming the objective reality of ideals whose existence cannot be demonstrated (K 82).

the ideals of objective cosmic reality whose existence cannot be materially demonstrated.

We are then left with the teleological conception of reason as the only one consistent with the Christian faith.... For only a free intelligence can distinguish between truth and error and thus make knowledge possible (K 83-84).

103:9.10 When reason once recognizes right and wrong, it exhibits wisdom;

Reason, so conceived, stands in its own right, but it is nevertheless an ally of faith, and faith in its turn is an ally of reason. The two belong together (K 84).

when wisdom chooses between right and wrong, truth and error, it demonstrates spirit leading.

And thus are the functions of mind, soul, and spirit ever closely united and functionally interassociated.

Reason deals with factual knowledge; wisdom, with philosophy and revelation; faith, with living spiritual experience.

URANTIA PAPER 103

FAITH AND MYSTICISM (Knudson 85)

We need a religion for the whole life; and this means that Truth and Beauty as well as Goodness must be regarded as avenues of approach to the Eternal, and it also means that the mystic sense will be associated with every revelation of the ideal (K 92).

Through truth man attains beauty and by spiritual love ascends to goodness.

103:9.11 Faith leads to knowing God, not merely to a mystical feeling of the divine presence. Faith must not be overmuch influenced by its emotional consequences. True religion is an experience of believing and knowing as well as a satisfaction of feeling.

103:9.12 There is a reality in religious experience that is proportional to the spiritual content, and such a reality is transcendent to reason, science, philosophy, wisdom, and all other human achievements. The convictions of such an experience are unassailable; the logic of religious living is incontrovertible; the certainty of such knowledge is superhuman; the satisfactions are superbly divine, the courage indomitable, the devotions unquestioning, the loyalties supreme, and the destinies final—eternal, ultimate, and universal.

103:9.13 [Presented by a Melchizedek of Nebadon.]

1. Contrast Early man did not differentiate between the energy level and the spirit level. It was the violet race and their Andite successors who first attempted to divorce the mathematical from the volitional. Increasingly has civilized man followed in the footsteps of the earliest Greeks and Sumerians who distinguished between the inanimate and the animate (103:6.10).

with: The distinction between natural and supernatural phenomena, Westermarck says, is quite clearly made by primitive people.... Further, the primitive distinguishes between mechanical causation and volitional activity. Even among supernatural phenomena he makes this distinction, those mechanically caused being treated as magical but not made objects of worship. It is only those supernatural phenomena that impress him as being voluntary that the savage treats with religious respect and makes his objects of worship. And in order that this should happen these distinctions need not be conceptualized in abstract terms. The emotional response of man to the unfamiliar is even compared to the shying of a horse; and it is pointed out that even a child responds differently to the animate and to the inanimate (G 69-70).

- 2. Contrast: Philosophy dare not project its interpretations of reality in the linear fashion of logic ... (103:6.14).
- 3. *Compare* Theology deals with the intellectual content of religion, metaphysics (revelation) with the philosophic aspects (103:9.1).

with: The current prejudice against theology, insofar as it has a rational basis, is due to the modern revolt against authoritarianism and metaphysics.... But metaphysics has to do with ultimate reality; it has to do with what "God" stands for in religion. Theology, therefore, could not renounce it without ceasing to be theology. One might, it is true, expound the biblical doctrine of God without relating it to one's total world-view and without seeking to ground it philosophically. But this would be a superficial mode of procedure (K 15).