WORK-IN-PROGRESS (JULY 27, 2020) PARALLEL CHART FOR

Paper 89 — Sin, Sacrifice, and Atonement

© 2012, 2013, 2015, 2020 Matthew Block

This chart is a revision of the 2013 and 2015 versions. Most endnotes and Urantia Book cross-references have been deleted to enhance readability.

Sources for Paper 89, in the order in which they first appear

- (1) William Graham Sumner and Albert Galloway Keller, *The Science of Society, Volume II* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1927)
- (2) William Graham Sumner, Albert Galloway Keller, and Maurice Rea Davie, *The Science of Society, Volume IV* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1927)
- (3) Lewis Browne, This Believing World: A Simple Account of the Great Religions of Mankind (New York: The Macmillan Company, 1926)
- (4) E. Washburn Hopkins, Ph.D., LL.D., *Origin and Evolution of Religion* (New Haven: Yale University Press, 1923)
- (5) Henry Nelson Wieman and Regina Westcott-Wieman, *Normative Psychology of Religion* (New York: Thomas Y. Crowell Company, 1935)

Note: Henry Nelson Wieman wrote the chapter which was used in this paper.

Kev

- (a) Green indicates where a source author first appears, or where he/she reappears.
- **Yellow** highlights most parallelisms.
- (c) Tan highlights parallelisms occurring further apart, usually not in the same row.
- (d) An <u>underlined</u> word or words indicates where the source and the UB writer pointedly differ from each other.
- (e) Blue indicates original (or "revealed") information, or UB-specific terminology and concepts. (What to highlight in this regard is debatable; the highlights are tentative.)

	2	

Light green indicates Bible passages or fragments thereof, which are not paralleled in the

(f)

source texts.

URANTIA PAPER 89

Work-in-progress Version 20 June 2012 © 2012, 2013, 2015, 2020 Matthew Block *Revised 24 Mar. 2013, 1 Feb. 2015, 27 July* 2020

PAPER 89 — SIN, SACRIFICE, AND ATONEMENT

XXXVI: HUMAN SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1251)

§295.* Redemption and Covenant. (Sumner & Keller 1263)

One of the widest phases of religious sentiment represents all men as under debt to the spirits.

89:0.1 Primitive man regarded himself as being in debt to the spirits,

This may be merely because the latter have held off and not inflicted damage when they might have done so (S&K 1263).

as standing in need of redemption.

As the savages looked at it, in justice the spirits might have visited much more bad luck upon them.

As time passed, this concept developed into the doctrine of sin and salvation.

The thing to be bought off or ransomed is not infrequently life itself; the ransomed soul is a more developed conception. It is as if <u>life</u> comes into the world under forfeit, by reason of some original obligation or "original sin".

The <u>soul</u> was looked upon as <u>coming into</u> the world under forfeit—original sin.

The soul must be ransomed;

This topic is closely allied to that of the scape-goat (S&K 1263).

a scapegoat must be provided.

Head-hunting, besides being a result of skull-worship, also provides a substitute for one's own life (S&K 1264).

The head-hunter, in addition to practicing the cult of skull worship, was able to provide a substitute for his own life, a scapeman.

URANTIA PAPER 89

XXXIII: PROPITIATION (Sumner & Keller 1167)

§278. Nature of Propitiation. (Sumner & Keller 1167)

89:0.2 The savage was early possessed with the notion that

Nudity, again, though it seems to be generally coercitive, is often interpretable as propitiatory, for the daimons get satisfaction out of the sight of human misery, humiliation, and self-discipline (S&K 1168).

spirits derive supreme satisfaction from the sight of human misery, suffering, and humiliation.

The faults hitherto considered have been almost wholly those of commission: something wrong has been done; some taboo broken (S&K 1170).

At first, man was only concerned with sins of commission,

It is also necessary to perform positive cult-obligations and to incur no guilt by reason of omissions; for the daimons have might-supported rights that root ultimately in the supposed needs of the ghosts (S&K 1170).

but <u>later</u> he became exercised over sins of omission.

Whatever the nature of the sin, whether of commission or of omission, there was but one recourse for the sinner if he were to evade or lessen the penalty: conciliation or propitation (S&K 1170).

And the whole subsequent sacrificial system grew up around these two ideas.

Misfortune will come of itself unless something is done to prevent it, whereas fortune will not arrive unless something is done to bring it (S&K 1167).

This new ritual had to do with the observance of the propitiation ceremonies of sacrifice.

Primitive man believed that something special must be done to win the favor of the gods;

Considerable development is called for before people arrive at the idea of a consistently benevolent god (S&K 1167).

Propitiation, in fact, is rather a mode of dodging great ill fortune through acquiescing in an endurable, recurring present loss than it is a deliberate policy of getting good luck. It is insurance rather than investment (S&K 1167).

It is to be noted in the cases that the line between coercion and conciliation is not hard and fast; in fact, avoidance, exorcism, coercion, propitiation merge into one another (S&K 1168).

XXXI: THE TABOO (Sumner & Keller 1095)

\$268.* Religious Nature of the Taboo. (Sumner & Keller 1095)

The taboo is extended generally to prohibit what would bring bad luck; it proscribes words and names, days and seasons, attitudes and actions (S&K 1098).

What might offend the spirits or might attract them when not wanted is the object of strenuous taboo (S&K 1098).

Some reputable writers do not recognize the religious element in the taboo: Finsch, for example, assigns it, as it appears on the Gilbert Islands, to economic necessity (S&K 1095-96).

URANTIA PAPER 89

only advanced civilization recognizes a consistently even-tempered and benevolent God.

Propitiation was insurance against immediate ill luck rather than investment in future bliss.

And the rituals of avoidance, exorcism, coercion, and propitiation all merge into one another.

1. THE TABOO

89:1.1 Observance of a taboo was man's effort to dodge ill luck,

to keep from offending the spirit ghosts by the avoidance of something.

The taboos were at first nonreligious,

URANTIA PAPER 89

"A man being told to make a regular flight of steps to his house instead of the old notched ladder, replied 'No, that would be *pomali*." Nothing is added concerning the reason of the taboo; ... if, however, the context is examined, in this case the Malay attitude toward *pomali*, there can be small doubt as to the daimonic sanction (S&K 1096).

but they early acquired ghost or spirit sanction.

and when thus reinforced,

§269. Societal Function. (Sumner & Keller 1105)

Within the society the taboo is the great institution-builder, for, as we have seen, it cuts away at the amorphous mass of folkways, eliminating this and that, until what is left shows a shape and consistency that is more permanent and institutional (S&K 1108).

they became lawmakers and institution builders.

In general it governs that aspect of societal life called by Spencer "ceremonial" (S&K 1109).

The taboo is the source of ceremonial standards

[See 89:3.3, below.]

and the ancestor of primitive self-control.

Taboo was the primordial form of societal regulation; it reduced society to order for expedient ends and thus gave it shape and consistency (S&K 1107).

It was the earliest form of societal regulation

"The system of *tapu*, so widely spread throughout the islands of the Pacific, was carried to its highest pitch of development as a social law of the land of the Maori.... It was really the only law save that of the spear and the *patu* that the Maori possessed ..." (S&K 1103).

and for a long time the only one;

it is still a basic unit of the social regulative structure.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§268.* Religious Nature of the Taboo. (Sumner & Keller 1095)

A taboo is a prohibition; but a prohibition

89:1.2 The respect which these prohibitions commanded in the mind of the savage

is just as strong as the power that issues and guarantees it (S&K 1097).

exactly equaled his fear of the powers who were supposed to enforce them.

Taboos first arose because of chance experience with ill luck;

Taboos are in fact generally <u>imposed</u> by the chief or medicine-man,

later they were <u>proposed</u> by chiefs and shamans—

and both of these are fetish-men with indwelling spirits that speak and act through them (S&K 1097).

fetish men who were thought to be directed by a spirit ghost, even by a god.

The fear of spirit retribution is so great in the mind of a primitive that

The taboo, by its nature, does not admit of experimental verification. The native does not dare, by ignoring it, to make the test; or if by chance, involuntarily, he does, still he is more likely to support the theory by promptly dying of fright than to live to note the non-appearance of the consequences (S&K 1098).

he sometimes dies of fright when he has violated a taboo,

and this dramatic episode enormously strengthens the hold of the taboo on the minds of the survivors.

\$270.* The Industrial Taboo. (Sumner & Keller 1109)

Whatever is of economic value to primitive societies, such as property and women, is subject to taboo (S&K 1110).

89:1.3 Among the earliest prohibitions were restrictions on the appropriation of women and other property.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§268.* Religious Nature of the Taboo. (Sumner & Keller 1095)

As religion began to play a larger part in the evolution of the taboo,

The tabooed thing is likely to be "unclean" or "holy" or both at once, for the two terms run together (S&K 1104).

the article resting under ban was regarded as unclean, subsequently as unholy.

There are many taboos mentioned in the Old Testament, the most famous being that laid upon the tree of knowledge (S&K IV 581).

The records of the Hebrews are full of the mention of things clean and unclean, holy and unholy,

but their beliefs along these lines were far less cumbersome and extensive than were those of many other peoples.

89:1.4 The seven commandments of Dalamatia and Eden, as well as

"The ten commandments, as apprehended by the white man in their ethical splendor, are not so apprehended by the black man when God 'ties him with ten tyings' in the 'early morning' of his Christian day. the ten injunctions of the Hebrews,

They are not then to him the expressions of ideals; they are facts, definite laws of abstainings, of omission and commission.

were definite taboos,

They are the Eldorado of taboo. They replace with a great calm the agitations of the experimental efforts of the past, when everything was at stake and nothing was sure; when man was exhausted in his effort to fill his side of the contract, but might never count upon the party of the second part. In this they are emancipating; they are the way of escape from a man-made yoke..." (S&K 1100).

all expressed in the same negative form as were the most ancient prohibitions.

But these newer codes were truly emancipating

URANTIA PAPER 89

in that they took the place of thousands of pre-existent taboos.

And more than this, these later commandments definitely promised something in return for obedience.

§271.* Food-Taboo. (Sumner & Keller 1114)

In general, African food-taboos rest upon irrational daimonistic notions and there is more than an indication that they are imposed chiefly upon the flesh of fetish-animals. Since almost every tribe has its special tribal animal, there is probably a considerable admixture of totemism in the restrictive measures (S&K 1116).

89:1.5 The early food taboos originated in fetishism and totemism.

The Phœnicians were forbidden to eat the flesh of both swine and cows (S&K IV 593).

The swine was sacred to the Phoenicians,

[The flesh of certain holy or of certain particularly unholy animals was considered taboo, and therefore might not be eaten. (That primitive superstition is responsible for the aversion to pork which marked the ancient Egyptians, and still marks the Jews and Moslems.) (Browne 40)]

the cow to the Hindus.

The Egyptian taboo on pork has been perpetuated by the Hebraic and Islamic faiths.

A variant of the food taboo was the belief that

"... In the island of Aurora, in the New Hebrides, mothers sometimes have a fancy, before the birth of a child, that the infant is connected in its origin with a cocoanut or breadfruit, or some such object, a connection which the natives express by saying that the children are a kind of echo of such things.

a pregnant woman could think so much about a certain food that the child, when born, would be the echo of that food.

The child, therefore, is taught not to eat that in which it has had its origin, and is told, what the mothers entirely believe, that to eat it will bring disease" (S&K IV 584).

It is not alone the food upon which restriction descends; the manner of eating also comes in for attention (S&K 1119).

Such restrictions are the beginning not only of decency, but even of etiquette and nicety of behavior ... (S&K 1119).

Social distinctions account for some of the food-taboos of the Atharaka ... (S&K IV 585). [See S&K 1119 re caste distinctions.]

I, II: RELIGION (Browne 42)

5. How religion made society possible—and desirable—how it gave rise to art—the significance of Primitive Religion. (Browne 54)

Religion proved in time rather too effective a preservative.

It sheltered too extensively and indiscriminately, keeping alive not merely the morals necessary to the life of society, but also every scrap of ancient ritual and savage taboo (B 54).

But it is well to remember that, had it not been for religion and its underlying faith that the universe and its fell "powers" could be controlled, there would not have been any civilization to frustrate (B 55).

URANTIA PAPER 89

Such viands would be taboo to the child.

89:1.6 Methods of eating soon became taboo.

and so originated ancient and modern table etiquette.

Caste systems and social levels are vestigial remnants of olden prohibitions.

The <u>taboos</u> were highly <u>effective</u> in organizing society, but they were terribly burdensome;

the negative-ban system not only maintained useful and constructive regulations but also obsolete, outworn, and useless taboos.

89:1.7 There would, however, be no civilized society to sit in criticism upon primitive man except for these far-flung and multifarious taboos, and the taboo would never have endured but for the upholding sanctions of primitive religion.

URANTIA PAPER 89

Many of the essential factors in man's evolution have been highly expensive, have cost vast treasure in effort, sacrifice, and self-denial,

Religion was the boot-strap by which man raised himself out of savagery.... In a very real sense it was his salvation. . . (B 55).

but these achievements of self-control were the real rungs on which man climbed civilization's ascending ladder.

2. THE CONCEPT OF SIN

XXXI: THE TABOO (Sumner & Keller 1095)

§273. Miscellaneous Taboos. (Sumner & Keller 1127)

89:2.1 The fear of chance and the dread of bad luck literally drove man into the invention of primitive religion as

After all is said and done, the object of evolving religion is insurance against the aleatory element,

supposed insurance against these calamities.

From magic and ghosts, religion evolved through spirits and fetishes to taboos. Every primitive tribe had its tree of forbidden fruit, literally the apple but figuratively consisting of a thousand branches hanging heavy with all sorts of taboos. And the forbidden tree always said,

and the methods pursued have been consistently and characteristically those of avoidance. But the taboo is the very formulation of the avoidance-policy: Thou shalt not (S&K 1132).

"Thou shalt not."

URANTIA PAPER 89

89:2.2 As the savage mind evolved to that point where it envisaged both good and bad spirits, and when the taboo received the solemn sanction of evolving religion, the stage was all set for the appearance of the new conception of sin. The idea of sin was universally established in the world before revealed religion ever made its entry.

XXXII: SIN, EXORCISM, COERCION (Sumner & Keller 1133)

§274.* Sin. (Sumner & Keller 1133)

[See 86:3.3.]

The man who has broken a taboo has sinned, and the wages of sin must be apportioned to him.

One tree in Eden was put under taboo; man must renounce its fruit, for on the day on which he ate of it he would surely die (S&K 1133).

It should be noted at the outset that sin is ritual, not rational; and that it is a matter of act, not of thought, intent, or state of mind (S&K 1134).

The belief is always present, by implication at least, that there was once a sinless time, when all were happy; this was the Golden Age.

It was only by the concept of sin that natural death became logical to the primitive mind.

Sin was the transgression of taboo,

and death was the penalty of sin.

89:2.3 Sin was ritual, not rational; an act, not a thought.

And this entire concept of sin was fostered by the lingering traditions of Dilmun and the days of a little paradise on earth.

The tradition of Adam and the Garden of Eden also lent substance to

the dream of a onetime "golden age" of the dawn of the races.

URANTIA PAPER 89

And all this confirmed the ideas later expressed in the belief that man had his origin in a special creation,

There were once perfect people, like Homer's "blameless Ethiopians, some at the rising sun, some at the setting" (S&K 1136).

that he started his career in perfection,

Generally such beliefs in the good old times are accompanied by explanations of their disappearance wherein it appears that man was responsible, by reason of his injudicious and sinful behavior, for the existence of death and woes of every description (S&K 1136).

and that transgression of the taboos sin—brought him down to his later sorry plight.

[contd] The drawing of a distinction between sin, crime, and vice may help to clarify all three conceptions. Vice is individual and is the <u>original</u> and real thing.

89:2.4 The habitual violation of a taboo became a vice;

Religion makes it a sin; law a crime.

primitive law made vice a crime; religion made it a sin.

Among primitive people, since law is so largely a matter of religious taboo, sin and crime are pretty much the same thing (S&K 1136).

Among the early tribes the violation of a taboo was a combined crime and sin.

Calamity to the community is proof positive of the presence of sin (S&K 1138).

Community calamity was always regarded as punishment for tribal sin.

Men have exercised themselves in all ages concerning the relation of goodness and happiness. Theoretically they ought to go together; but there were instances enough where the wicked flourished like the green bay tree (S&K 1140).

To those who believed that prosperity and righteousness went together,

the apparent prosperity of the wicked occasioned so much worry that it was necessary to invent hells for the punishment of taboo violators;

URANTIA PAPER 89

Tibetan Buddhism distinguishes <u>six</u> hells for different classes of sins ... (S&K IV 597).

the numbers of these places of future punishment have varied from one to <u>five</u>.

§275.* Remission. (Sumner & Keller 1140)

One of the prime methods of self-clearance is confession (S&K 1142).

89:2.5 The idea of confession and forgiveness early appeared in primitive religion.

A rather forehanded case of remission is reported from Alaska, where "a noted woman of Sitka prayed openly in prayer-meeting that God forgive her for the sins she had in mind to commit the following week" (S&K IV 602).

Men would ask forgiveness at a public meeting for sins they intended to commit the following week.

Confession was merely a rite of remission,

Though later ideas demand admission of sin as the first preliminary to remission, the original object of insisting upon confession seems to have been the same as that for requiring a notification of the presence of disease, like the "Unclean! unclean!" of the leper (S&K 1142).

also a public notification of defilement, a ritual of crying "unclean, unclean!"

[See S&K 1143-44 and S&K IV 599-604.]

Then followed all the ritualistic schemes of purification. All ancient peoples practiced these meaningless ceremonies.

[See 88:5.1, 92:1.1.]

Many apparently hygienic customs of the early tribes were largely ceremonial.

URANTIA PAPER 89

3. RENUNCIATION AND HUMILIATION

XXXIII: PROPITIATION (Sumner & Keller 1167)

§280.* Renunciation. (Sumner & Keller 1179)

89:3.1 Renunciation came as the <u>next</u> step in religious evolution;

Fasting was a common practice of the Hebrews and Christians, as any concordance of the Bible amply demonstrates (S&K IV 637).

fasting was a common practice.

Then there is the renunciation of sexrelations and social ties in general, Soon it became the custom to forego many forms of physical pleasure, especially of a sexual nature.

and at length the system of asceticism appears (S&K 1180).

[See S&K IV 636-37.]

The ritual of the fast was deeply rooted in many ancient religions and has been handed down to practically all modern theologic systems of thought.

[See 87:2.10.]

89:3.2 Just about the time barbarian man was recovering from the wasteful practice of burning and burying property with the dead, just as the economic structure of the races was beginning to take shape, this new religious doctrine of renunciation appeared, and tens of thousands of earnest souls began to court poverty. Property was regarded as a spiritual handicap.

The notion that poverty was meritorious and a good in itself was widely entertained but unformulated at the beginning of the thirteenth century; it later gave rise to the mendicant orders (S&K IV 637).

These notions of the spiritual dangers of material possession were widespreadly entertained in the times of Philo and Paul,

URANTIA PAPER 89

and they have markedly influenced

European philosophy ever since.

[If [the gods delight in human pain and misfortune], then one of the ways to propitiate them is through renunciation, actual or ostensible, of life's goods and pleasures; and another is positive self-torture or "mortification of the flesh" (S&K 1179).]

89:3.3 Poverty was just a part of the ritual of the mortification of the flesh

Renunciation is sacrifice, though it might be termed negative as compared with the offering of actual goods.... The taboo, coercitives, asceticism, penance, and the "state of grace" are all interconnected ... (S&K 1180).

which, unfortunately, became incorporated into the writings and teachings of many religions, notably Christianity.

Penance is the negative form of this ofttimes foolish ritual of renunciation.

But all this taught the savage self-control, and that was a worth-while advancement in social evolution. Self-denial and self-control were two of the greatest social gains from early evolutionary religion.

[Renunciation] is the method, in its refined form, of increasing life's fraction by lowering the denominator of demands instead of striving always to increase the numerator of satisfactions. It comes to be one of the great life-philosophies (S&K 1182).

Self-control gave man a new philosophy of life;

it taught him the art of augmenting life's fraction by lowering the denominator of personal demands instead of always attempting to increase the numerator of selfish gratification.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§282.* Self-Discipline. (Sumner & Keller 1187)

Self-discipline means to the majority of readers something like self-torture (S&K 1187).

" ... The priest of the Great Mother consecrated himself to her service with an act of self-sacrifice as great as that of any modern monk or priest. He knew what it was to fast, he was merciless to his flesh on the *Dies sanguinis*, and was no stranger to the pain of self-scourging."

The final act of consecration, by which one became a minister of the cult, was castration... (S&K IV 643).

[Compare S&K IV 640-41 re Hindus and Jains; contrast 94:7.2 re the attitude of Buddhism toward extreme self-discipline.]

Pious works not alone pile up credits for the individual; a surplus of them is also available for all in the cult-union, including both the living and the dead (S&K 1194).

The foregoing introduces us bluntly to the idea that the cult-activities in propitiation are to be regarded as resting upon a sort of ledger account kept by some supernatural agency (S&K 1194).

§283. Vows. (Sumner & Keller 1195)

[contd] The vow is a sort of contract with the spirits;

89:3.4 These olden ideas of selfdiscipline embraced flogging and all sorts of physical torture.

The priests of the mother cult were especially active in teaching the virtue of physical suffering,

setting the example by submitting themselves to castration.

The <u>Hebrews</u>, Hindus, and <u>Buddhists</u> were earnest devotees of this doctrine of physical humiliation.

89:3.5 All through the olden times men sought in these ways for

extra credits

on the self-denial ledgers of their gods.

It was once customary, when under some emotional stress, to make vows of self-denial and self-torture.

In time these vows assumed the form of contracts with the gods

URANTIA PAPER 89

and, in that sense, represented true evolutionary progress in that the gods were supposed to

[Often something definite was expected in return, as when Ezra proclaimed a fast at the river of Ahava, "that we might afflict ourselves before our God, to seek of him a right way for us, and for our little ones, and for all our substance. . . . So we fasted and besought our God for this: and he was intreated of us" (S&K IV 637).]

do something definite in return for this self-torture and mortification of the flesh.

and it may promise either renunciation or positive sacrifice (S&K 1195).

Vows were both negative and positive.

India is a land of extreme vows, as has already appeared.... Lippert thinks these vows of self-torture in India were the substitute for positive sacrifice of which poverty did not permit (S&K 1195-96).

Pledges of this harmful and extreme nature are best observed today among certain groups in India.

§281.* Continence. (Sumner & Keller 1183)

89:3.6 It was only natural that the cult of renunciation and humiliation should have paid attention to sexual gratification.

The continence cult originated as

When the Maori went to war, "they were separated from their wives, and did not again approach them until peace was proclaimed ..." (S&K 1184). [See S&K 1184 for more cases.]

a ritual among soldiers prior to engaging in battle;

[Nevertheless, to avoid fornication, let every man have his own wife, and let every woman have her own husband (1 Cor. 7:2).]

in later days it became the practice of "saints."

[Compare S&K 1186.]

<u>This</u> cult tolerated marriage only as an evil lesser than <u>fornication</u>.

Many of the world's great religions have been adversely influenced by this ancient cult, but none more markedly than Christianity.

URANTIA PAPER 89

The Apostle Paul was a devotee of this cult, and his personal views are reflected in the teachings which he fastened onto Christian theology:

[Now concerning the things whereof ye wrote unto me: *It is* good for a man not to touch a woman (1 Cor. 7:1).]

"It is good for a man not to touch a woman."

[For I would that all men were even as I myself. But every man hath his proper gift of God, one after this manner, and another after that.

"I would that all men were even as I myself."

I say therefore to the unmarried and widows, It is good for them if they abide even as I (1 Cor. 7:7-8).]

"I say, therefore, to the unmarried and widows, it is good for them to abide even as I."

Paul well knew that such teachings were not a part of Jesus' gospel, and his acknowledgment of this is illustrated by his statement,

[But I speak this by permission, *and* not of commandment (1 Cor. 7:6).]

"I speak this by permission and not by commandment."

But this cult led Paul to look down upon women. And the pity of it all is that his personal opinions have long influenced the teachings of a great world religion. If the advice of the tentmaker-teacher were to be literally and universally obeyed, then would the human race come to a sudden and inglorious end. Furthermore, the involvement of a religion with the ancient continence cult leads directly to a war against marriage and the home, society's veritable foundation and the basic institution of human progress.

And it is not to be wondered at that all such beliefs fostered the formation of

The struggle to maintain a celibate priesthood was carried on by such determined prelates as Popes Leo IX and Gregory VII. [Etc.] (S&K IV 640)

celibate priesthoods

[See S&K IV 638 re cases of celibate priesthoods in other religions.]

[Compare: Religion runs out into sensuality and obscenity beyond description.... [T]here is unlimited license and debauch; all taboos, even that proscribing incest, are suspended; the carnal runs out into unimagined variety and inventiveness (S&K 1192-93).] [See also S&K IV 642.]

[See 143:2.]

XI: SACRIFICE (Hopkins 151)

There have been various theories as to the origin of sacrifice but none is satisfactory, because, though all are correct in their interpretation of certain phenomena, all are deficient in that they are intended to make one interpretation cover all phenomena (H 151).

Before man had a clear conception of a spirit inhabiting a body, when he fears rather the power of the jungle than any demon in it, ... he makes, in this attitude of mind, first of all a gesture indicating his appreciation of the power. If he is accustomed to prostrate himself before his chief, that is the gesture he employs; if merely to bow the head or stretch forth the arms, that is his gesture here (H 151-52).

URANTIA PAPER 89

in the many religions of various peoples.

89:3.7 Someday man should learn how to enjoy liberty without license, nourishment without gluttony, and pleasure without debauchery.

Self-control is a better human policy of behavior regulation than is extreme self-denial.

Nor did Jesus ever teach these unreasonable views to his followers.

4. ORIGINS OF SACRIFICE

89:4.1 Sacrifice as a part of religious devotions, like many other worshipful rituals, did not have a simple and single origin.

The tendency to bow down before power and to prostrate oneself in worshipful adoration in the presence of mystery

It is a reflex in the individual of instinct (as a dog fawns) or social usage as applied to an extraneous object of respect; its intent is to show the man's humility in the presence of a recognized power (H 152).

Further, the act which in social relations is apt to accompany such a gesture accompanies it here in many instances of savage procedure; that is, the savage offers something to the power, just as he offers a little something when he bows to his chief, or greets an awesome strange power in human shape. This offering is, so to speak, one with the gesture of prostration (H 152).

[The savage] makes no gift at all; he performs his act of abnegation because he believes that the exercise of restraint strengthens his <u>own</u> power in which we are forced to think of as a spiritual way; his *mana* is strengthened, is the way he thinks of the matter; or, one may say, he thinks of it in terms of increased vitality. It is for <u>this</u> reason that <u>teeth</u> are pulled <u>out</u> and <u>hair is plucked</u> deliberately (this word is important) and other <u>pain-producing</u> acts are undergone, to stimulate power (H 157-58).

URANTIA PAPER 89

is foreshadowed in the fawning of the dog before its master.

It is but one step from the impulse of worship to the act of sacrifice.

Primitive man gauged the value of his sacrifice by the pain which he suffered. When the idea of sacrifice first attached itself to religious ceremonial, no <u>offering</u> was contemplated which was not

productive of pain.

The first sacrifices were such acts as plucking hair, cutting the flesh, mutilations, knocking out teeth, and cutting off fingers.

URANTIA PAPER 89

As civilization advanced,

It is not so much the Aeschylean doctrine of $\pi \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \ \mu \alpha \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ (wisdom comes from suffering) as it is the Christian sanctification through sorrow which is adumbrated in these savage examples of abnegation and initiation (H 159).

these crude concepts of sacrifice were elevated to the level of the rituals of self-abnegation, asceticism, fasting, deprivation, and the later Christian doctrine of sanctification through sorrow, suffering, and the mortification of the flesh.

89:4.2 Early in the evolution of religion there existed two conceptions of the sacrifice:

Under the head of a "gift-sacrifice" is sometimes, brought by straining a point, such a "gift" as was made by Agamemnon when he sacrificed Iphigeneia. In reality, this was a form of placation made under duress to overcome divine anger, piacular rather than "a special form of gift-sacrifice"; at bottom it was the payment of a debt, making up for an injury.

the idea of the gift sacrifice,

[Contradicts 89:8.6, below.]

which connoted the attitude of thanksgiving,

According to one view of sacrifice as explained by the Brahmans, every sacrifice is the paying of a debt, or rather, in giving a sacrifice everyone "buys himself off," $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}nam$ $nishkr\bar{n}\bar{n}te$, redeems himself, pays his debt by proxy (Ait. Brah., 2, 3, 11) (H 165-66).

and the debt sacrifice, which embraced the idea of redemption.

A substitute is always as acceptable as the original victim (H 164 fn.).

<u>Later</u> there developed the notion of substitution.

URANTIA PAPER 89

89:4.3 Man still <u>later</u> conceived that his sacrifice of whatever nature might function as

There is, as already explained, a (not uncommon) form of sacrifice,—for example, in Borneo,—where one slaughters a pig or some such animal and by it sends a message or inquiry to the Manes or gods; its spirit takes the message and its liver shows the answer (H 168).

a message bearer to the gods;

[And the LORD smelled a sweet savour; and the LORD said in his heart, I will not curse the ground any more for man's sake; (Gen. 8:21)]

it might be as a sweet savor in the nostrils of deity.

This brought incense and other aesthetic features of sacrificial rituals which developed into sacrificial feasting, in time becoming increasingly elaborate and ornate.

XXXV: ANTECEDENTS OF HUMAN SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1223)

§289. The Food-Interest. (Sumner & Keller 1223)

With the higher development of spiritual conceptions, methods of conciliation and propitiation worked toward the front,

replacing, at least in outward form, the negative recourse of avoidance and exorcism (S&K 1223).

XXXIV: SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1199)

§286.* Atonement. (Sumner & Keller 1210)

[contd] It has been noted that sacrifice often represents a sort of neutrality-toll levied by the gods (S&K 1210).

89:4.4 As religion evolved, the sacrificial rites of conciliation and propitiation

replaced the older methods of avoidance, placation, and exorcism.

89:4.5 The <u>earliest</u> idea of the sacrifice was that of a <u>neutrality assessment levied</u> by ancestral spirits;

URANTIA PAPER 89

only later did the idea of atonement develop.

As man got away from the notion of the evolutionary origin of the race, as the traditions of the days of the Planetary Prince and the sojourn of Adam filtered down through time,

In fact, there lies behind the aforesaid neutrality-toll the widespread notion that man is by his actions, wittingly or unwittingly, always in sin—even that he has come into the world already sinful and under peril (S&K 1210).

the concept of sin and of original sin¹ became widespread,

An "unknown god" might, at any time, resent lack of propitiation. The only safe course, under these circumstances, was to atone regularly and punctiliously for what sins one must have committed or must be committing that he could not know about. It was a sort of blanket insurance-device, covering visitations of the aleatory element not otherwise provided against (S&K 1211-12).

so that sacrifice for accidental and personal sin evolved into the doctrine of sacrifice for the atonement of racial sin.

There are so many creditors among the deities that it seems to be more than a life's work for a man to get out of debt (S&K IV 649).

The atonement of the sacrifice was a blanket insurance device which covered even the resentment and jealousy of an unknown god.

89:4.6 Surrounded by so many sensitive spirits and grasping gods,

primitive man was face to face with such a host of creditor deities that it required all the priests, ritual, and sacrifices throughout an entire lifetime to get him out of spiritual debt.

The doctrine of original sin, or racial guilt, started every person out in serious debt to the spirit powers.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§284.* Nature of Sacrifice. (Sumner & Keller 1199)

[contd] A gift or a bribe may be "given" to a human being;

when it is presented to a daimon, however, the proper term is to "dedicate" or "make sacred" or "sacrifice."

From renunciatory forms of propitiation

the series now passes to the positive giving or sacrifice of property, and even of life itself, in order to avoid misfortune or to secure good luck (S&K 1199).

Positive methods of propitiation include, in addition, whatever else would please and predispose human beings, such as praise, glorification, flattery, and entertainment in general (S&K 1199).

The whole medley of forms taken by sacrifice and worship becomes ritualized just as do the negative forms of the cult ... (S&K 1199).

89:4.7 Gifts and bribes are given to men;

but when tendered to the gods, they are described as being dedicated, made sacred, or are called sacrifices.

Renunciation was the negative form of propitiation;

sacrifice became the positive form.

The act of propitiation included praise, glorification, flattery, and even entertainment.

And it is the remnants of these positive practices of the olden propitiation cult that constitute the modern forms of divine worship.

<u>Present-day</u> forms of worship are simply the <u>ritualization</u> of these ancient sacrificial techniques of <u>positive</u> propitiation.

89:4.8 Animal sacrifice meant much more to primitive man than it could ever mean to modern races.

URANTIA PAPER 89

[Robertson Smith, in his *Religion of the Semites*,] makes much of the reverence and trust felt toward the gods on account of the common consanguineal bonds existing between them and their worshippers. Even the victim, an animal, was within the sacred circle of kin; and the sacrificial meal was therefore a sort of communion between blood-kin (S&K 1200).

These barbarians regarded the animals as their actual and near kin.

§288. The Burden of Sacrifice. (Sumner & Keller 1219)

As time passed, man became shrewd in his sacrificing,

The fact that the work-animal is not sacrificed is significant (S&K 1220).

ceasing to offer up his work animals.

The Indians depleted their stock in similar manner [as the Koryak]; "and in making these sacrifices, and all gifts to the Great Spirit, there is one thing yet to be told—that, whatever gift is made, whether a horse, a dog, or other article, it is sure to be the best of its kind that the giver possesses ..." (S&K 1220).

At first he sacrificed the *best* of everything, including his domesticated animals.

Erman thinks the boastful records of a Rameses III worthy of credence. In a reign of thirty-three years, he had given to the various temples 89:4.9 It was no empty boast that a certain Egyptian ruler made when he stated that he had sacrificed:

113,433 slaves, 493,386 head of cattle, 88 barks and galleys, and 2,756 golden images.

113,433 slaves, 493,386 head of cattle, 88 boats, 2,756 golden images,

Further contributions were 331,702 jars of incense, honey, and oil; 228,380 jars of wine and drink; 680,714 geese; 6,744,428 loaves of bread; and 5,740,352 sacks of coin.

331,702 jars of honey and oil, 228,380 jars of wine, 680,714 geese, 6,744,428 loaves of bread, and 5,740,352 sacks of coin.

To get this wealth the king taxed his subjects; he did not create it himself (S&K 1221).

And in order to do this he must needs have sorely taxed his toiling subjects.

URANTIA PAPER 89

89:4.10 Sheer necessity eventually drove these semisavages to

[All people, savages and civilized, have opined that spirits live on spirit-food, not the gross flesh but the soul of the flesh, and have made the material part their own share while leaving the essence or some part not desirable as human food for the gods (Hopkins 172).]

eat the material part of their sacrifices, the gods having enjoyed the soul thereof.

The fact that the victim was devoured in good part by the sacrificers, while the god was there to eat his part, makes of the sacrifice a sort of communion-meal, with a large significance of its own (S&K 1222).

And this custom found justification under the pretense of the ancient sacred meal,

a communion service according to modern usage.

5. SACRIFICES AND CANNIBALISM

XXXV: ANTECEDENTS OF HUMAN SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1223)

§293. Survivals and Legends. (Sumner & Keller 1243)

Civilized men might think that cannibalism was a usage of low savagery, far removed from our interest and serving only to show how low human beings can sink. Evidently that is a gross misconception of it.

89:5.1 Modern ideas of early cannibalism are entirely wrong;

It was a leading feature of society at a certain stage, around which a great cluster of mores centered ... (S&K 1249).

it was a part of the mores of early society.

Our horror of cannibalism is due to a long tradition, broken only by hearsay of some far distant and extremely savage people who practise it; therefore we think it, in itself, and "naturally," revolting to everybody and possible only to degraded races (S&K 1249).

While cannibalism is traditionally horrible to modern civilization,

URANTIA PAPER 89

it was a part of the social and religious structure of primitive society.

Where everybody believed that cannibalism was the only expedient possible under certain circumstances, beneficent alike for the eater and the eaten, or necessary for the preservation of the strength of the former alone, group-interest called for the practice (S&K 1250).

Group interests dictated the practice of cannibalism.

It grew up through the urge of necessity and persisted because of the slavery of superstition and ignorance. It was a social, economic, religious, and military custom.

§289. The Food-Interest. (Sumner & Keller 1223)

[See S&K 1224.]

89:5.2 Early man was a cannibal; he enjoyed human flesh, and therefore he offered it as a food gift to the spirits and his primitive gods.

Men dealt with the daimons as with human beings raised to a higher power ... (S&K 1223).

Since ghost spirits were merely modified men,

Of all gifts to the spirits a large fraction have consisted of food; it was the vital interest, the thing most generally and steadily in demand. The first need, for spirit as for man, was to be nourished ... (S&K 1223).

and since food was man's greatest need, then food must likewise be a spirit's greatest need.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§290.* Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1225)

[contd] If it were not for the long-standing taboo against the eating of human flesh, the contention that cannibalism was widespread and perhaps universal amongst mankind would rouse little opposition (S&K 1225).

Animal-ways present no series, no significant and steady tendency, which would force us to any conclusion as to the original state of man.... All that we could conclude from the facts about animals is that some men were cannibals and some were not, which we know already (S&K 1226).

§291. Corporeal Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1230)

In the year 1200 A.D. the Nile failed and famine ensued. Children were eaten by their parents. The civil authorities burned such cannibals alive and all was astonishment and horror over this outbreak of savagery. But the people got used to the practice and acquired a taste for human flesh (S&K 1235).

The explanation of cannibalism as due to lack of meat-food, or of food in general, though supported by some little evidence, is controverted by the great preponderance of cases (S&K 1230).

89:5.3 Cannibalism was once well-nigh universal among the evolving races.

The Sangiks were all cannibalistic, but originally the Andonites were not,

nor were the Nodites and Adamites; neither were the Andites until after they had become grossly admixed with the evolutionary races.

89:5.4 The taste for human flesh grows.

Having been started through hunger, friendship, revenge, or religious ritual, the eating of human flesh goes on to habitual cannibalism.

Man-eating has arisen through food scarcity, though this has seldom been the underlying reason.

Instances of cannibalism due to utter destitution, as where the Eskimo are cannibals only in times of famine, are not marvellous or even significant; stories of shipwrecks in relatively recent times show the breakdown of any food-taboo under stress (S&K 1231).

[Compare S&K 1227 and S&K IV 662-63.]

§292.* Animistic Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1235)

In Queensland women kill and eat their children in order to recover their strength (S&K 1238).

"Children are eaten when they die, but the crime of infanticide is not very common, unless in the case of a first child" (S&K 1238).

Thus cannibalism was a sort of warmeasure and a means of infusing terror (S&K 1241). [See S&K 1240 re cannibalism in Africa.]

Cannibalism might then be retained by an individual or group as a measure of "frightfulness"; cases of modern "outbreaks of savagery" are familiar (S&K 1241).

§290.* Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1225)

Further, we are not disturbed in our use of ethnography by the objection, on the part of those who revolt at facing truths unpalatable to their taste, that all cases of cannibalism represent mere degeneration.

Some of them do; but there is nothing behind the general challenge to ethnographic evidence except sentimentality (S&K 1226).

URANTIA PAPER 89

The Eskimos and early Andonites, however, seldom were cannibalistic except in times of famine.

The red men, especially in Central America, were cannibals.

It was once a general practice for primitive mothers to kill and eat their own children in order to renew the strength lost in childbearing,

and in Queensland the first child is still frequently thus killed and devoured.

In recent times cannibalism has been deliberately resorted to by many <u>African</u> tribes as a <u>war measure</u>,

a sort of **frightfulness** with which to terrorize their neighbors.

89:5.5 Some cannibalism resulted from the degeneration of once superior stocks,

URANTIA PAPER 89

but it was mostly prevalent among the

In the northern New Hebrides, "after a bitter fight they would take a slain enemy and eat him, as a sign of rage and indignation; they would cook him in an oven, and each would eat a bit of him, women and children too. When there was a less bitter feeling, the flesh of a dead enemy was taken away by the conquerors to be cooked and given to their friends" (S&K 1228).

Man-eating came on at a time when men experienced intense and bitter emotions

evolutionary races.

regarding their enemies.

§292.* Animalistic Cannibalism (Sumner & Keller 1235)

"The most acceptable explanation is that men, driven by a far-reaching desire for revenge, ate the war-prisoners or the slain,

to the end of annihilating them utterly and in the most shameful manner" (S&K 1240).

Sorcerers [in Central Australia] allege that they must eat human flesh to keep up their supernatural powers (S&K IV 664).

It is to be noted in passing that many cannibals will devour only their fellow-tribesmen; there is a so-called "endo-cannibalism," by which is preserved in the tribe the whole body of soul-strength belonging to it. In such case the eating of the deceased is an honor to them.

Here is a sort of exclusive spiritual inbreeding, of interest chiefly in its accentuation of familial and tribal solidarity (S&K 1237).

Eating human flesh became part of a solemn ceremony of revenge;

it was believed that an enemy's ghost could, in this way, be destroyed or fused with that of the eater.

It was once a widespread belief that

wizards attained their powers by eating human flesh.

89:5.6 Certain groups of man-eaters would consume only members of their own tribes,

a pseudospiritual inbreeding which was supposed to accentuate tribal solidarity.

URANTIA PAPER 89

A common practice also is to eat the body of an enemy, with a view to the appropriation of his valor and strength, just as the heart of the lion is devoured to increase one's own heart-quality or "courage."

But they also ate enemies for revenge with the idea of appropriating their strength.

Other seats of the soul are singled out for appropriation and there appears, further, the notion that some extra punishment is thus inflicted upon the dead foe; that his soul is destroyed or damaged in some way (S&K 1237).

a friend or fellow tribesman if his body were eaten,

while it was no more than just

punishment to an enemy thus to devour

him.

It was considered an honor to the soul of

The savage mind made no pretensions to being consistent.

Funeral-cannibalism is also reported of the Birhors of Hindustan, who formerly used to kill and eat their aged parents ... Reclus, without citing authority, says that in this tribe "the parents beg that their corpses may find a refuge in the stomachs of their children, rather than be left on the road or in the forest" (S&K IV 667).

89:5.7 Among some tribes aged parents would seek to be eaten by their children;

§290.* Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1225)

"... Families [among the Fang and other interior tribes] hesitate to eat their own dead, but they sell or exchange them for the dead of other families" (S&K IV 660).

among others it was customary to refrain from eating near relations; their bodies were sold or exchanged for those of strangers.

§291. Corporeal Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1230)

In one district of the Solomon Islands victims, mostly women, were purchased in an neighboring island, fattened for the feast, and "killed and eaten as pigs would have been" (S&K 1233).

There was considerable commerce in women and children who had been fattened for slaughter.

Sometimes cannibalism seems even to be a sort of groping population-policy, "to keep the tribe from increasing beyond the carrying capacity of the territory" (S&K 1235).

§293. Survivals and Legends. (Sumner & Keller 1243)

As accounting for the decline of cannibalism, a line of thought which seems to cover a maximum of the facts and to incur a minimum of the objections is as follows (S&K 1247).

§292.* Animistic Cannibalism. (Sumner & Keller 1235)

Perhaps also there may be in the communal eating of the criminal the idea of collective responsibility for putting to death a tribal comrade.

The blood-guilt, if any, must be incurred by all. The ritual of execution is like that of sacrifice; it ceases to be a crime only when done by all (S&K 1241).

The Chinese, according to Marco Polo, formerly ate all who were executed by authority (S&K 1242).

If the phenomena of cannibalism are viewed as a whole, it is clear that maneating is a matter of ceremonial and ritual rather than of mere alimentation (S&K 1236).

URANTIA PAPER 89

When disease or war failed to control population, the surplus was unceremoniously eaten.

89:5.8 Cannibalism has been gradually disappearing because of the following influences:

89:5.9 1. It sometimes became a communal ceremony,

the assumption of collective responsibility for inflicting the death penalty upon a fellow tribesman.

The blood guilt ceases to be a crime when participated in by all, by society.

The <u>last</u> of cannibalism in Asia was this eating of <u>executed</u> criminals.

89:5.10 2. It very early became a religious ritual,

but the growth of ghost fear did not always operate to reduce man-eating.

URANTIA PAPER 89

89:5.11 3. Eventually it progressed to the point where

It is not the whole body that is eaten; it is the few and selected parts, those supposed to contain the vital principle (S&K 1236).

only certain parts or organs of the body were eaten, those parts supposed to contain the soul or portions of the spirit.

There seems to have been an idea among primitive races that by eating the flesh or some portion of the body recognized as the seat of power, or by drinking the blood of a human being, the person so doing absorbs the nature or life of the one sacrificed (S&K 1237).

Blood drinking became common,

§293. Survivals and Legends. (Sumner & Keller 1243)

[Compare 89:5.15 re "the concoction of various medicines from parts of the human body".]

Cannibalism becomes narrowed down in practice, becoming a prerogative only of men,

of chiefs, of shamans, and it takes on the quality of the antique and traditional, the holy, solemn, ritual, and judicial (S&K 1243).

and it was customary to mix the "edible" parts of the body with medicines.

89:5.12 4. It became limited to men; women were forbidden to eat human flesh.

89:5.13 5. It was <u>next</u> limited to the chiefs, priests, and shamans.

89:5.14 6. Then it became taboo among the higher tribes. The taboo on maneating originated in Dalamatia and slowly spread over the world.

The Nodites encouraged cremation as a means of combating cannibalism²

Where the English government set out to stop [human sacrifices among the Bella-Coola Indians], the priests dug up corpses and ate them, several being thus poisoned (S&K 1238).

since it was once a common practice to dig up buried bodies and eat them.

Human sacrifice sounded the death knell of cannibalism.

URANTIA PAPER 89

If then, human flesh is tabooed in favor of superiors, it is in the natural order that it shall come to be set apart for the daimons.

Human flesh having become the food of superior men, the chiefs, it was eventually reserved for the still more superior spirits;

and thus the offering of human sacrifices effectively put a stop to cannibalism, except among the lowest tribes.

When human sacrifice was fully established, man-eating became taboo;

Such is the case, for it comes to be a holy food, reserved for them; it is "unclean," as holy things are wont to be, human flesh was food only for the gods;

and man may not eat of it except on special religious occasions (S&K 1247-48).

man could eat only a small ceremonial bit, a sacrament.

[Cannibalism] passes into practices that recall it more or less distinctly, such as the concoction of various medicines from parts of the human body and the animal-substitutions connected with human sacrifice, which is itself the chief survival of cannibalism (S&K 1243).

89:5.15 Finally animal substitutes came into general use for sacrificial purposes,

There is a theory, interesting rather than demonstrable, according to which dog-eating is to be regarded as a survival of cannibalism (S&K 1244).

and even among the more backward tribes

The dog was undoubtedly the earliest and most widespread domestic animal and so, very likely, the first to act as a substitute for a human victim, as he is now a substitute in the New Hebrides ... (S&K 1244).

dog-eating greatly reduced man-eating.

The dog was the first domesticated

and was held in high esteem both as such and as food.

animal

URANTIA PAPER 89

6. EVOLUTION OF HUMAN SACRIFICE

XXXVI: HUMAN SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1251)

\$294.* Nature of the Offering. (Sumner & Keller 1251)

There has already come before us a type of human sacrifice that is neither directly nor indirectly connected with man-eating: the provision of an escort for the dead to the spirit-world (S&K 1251).

It is astonishing to one who holds the current notions about human sacrifice to read that "there is not a people that has not practised this custom at some period or other of its history.

Hindus, Egyptians, Greeks, Romans, even Israelites, differ, in this matter, from the negroes of our own times in nothing save the object they assign to this kind of sacrifice. The longer the matter is studied, the less is one inclined to balk at this universal" (S&K 1251).

89:6.1 Human sacrifice was an indirect result of cannibalism as well as its cure.

Providing spirit escorts to the spirit world also led to the lessening of man-eating as it was never the custom to eat these death sacrifices.

No race has been entirely free from the practice of human sacrifice in some form and at some time,

even though the Andonites, Nodites, and Adamites were the least addicted to cannibalism.

89:6.2 Human sacrifice has been virtually universal;

it persisted in the religious customs of the Chinese, Hindus, Egyptians, Hebrews, Mesopotamians, Greeks, Romans, and many other peoples, even on to recent times among the backward African and Australian tribes.

[See S&K IV 673-75.]

In Chaldæa of the most ancient periods there were human sacrifices; but later they became rare and animals were used instead (S&K IV 675).

§297.* Survivals of Human Sacrifice. (Sumner & Keller 1273)

In Japan, "human sacrifice appears to have been practiced, and, if we may judge by the numerous legends handed down, was not entirely suppressed until long after the period when clay images were produced as a substitute." <u>Legend</u> ascribes the substitute images to about the beginning of the Christian era. Human sacrifice is said to have been abolished through the compassion of the Emperor, when he heard the weeping and crying of victims who had been buried alive (S&K 1276).

§294.* Nature of the Offering. (Sumner & Keller 1251)

About 900 A.D., the Norsemen were in the Orkneys, and their leader, catching a defeated enemy "made them carve an eagle on his back with a sword and cut the ribs all from the back-bone and draw the lungs out, and gave him to Odin for the victory he had won" (S&K 1256).

URANTIA PAPER 89

The later American Indians had a civilization emerging from cannibalism and, therefore, steeped in human sacrifice, especially in Central and South America.

The Chaldeans were among the first to abandon the sacrificing of humans for ordinary occasions, substituting therefor animals.

About two thousand years ago a tenderhearted Japanese emperor introduced clay images to take the place of human sacrifices,

but it was less than a thousand years ago that these sacrifices died out in northern Europe.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§295.* Redemption and Covenant. (Sumner & Keller 1263)

Where a person volunteers to serve as sacrifice, we have what might be called religious suicide. Such is the case among some primitive tribes in China where a voluntary human sacrifice is offered, in some cases annually, so as to preserve the group from disease, hunger, and distress (S&K IV 680).

§294.* Nature of the Offering. (Sumner & Keller 1251)

In 1814, on the appearance of a contagion affecting men and reindeer, the [Chukchi] shamans called for a human sacrifice as the only recourse, naming one of the most respected and beloved elderly men of the tribe.

The people would not kill him

but, as the disease did not abate, the old man devoted himself for his people. Nobody would execute him until finally his own son, at his command, put the knife into his breast (S&K 1254).

[The cases of the intended sacrifice of Isaac and that of Jephtha's daughter will occur to the reader of the Old Testament; and the fact that child-sacrifice is forbidden witnesses to its existence (S&K 1255).]

Among certain backward tribes, human sacrifice is still carried on by volunteers, a sort of religious or ritual suicide.

A shaman once ordered the sacrifice of a much respected old man of a certain tribe.

The people revolted; they refused to obey.

Whereupon the old man had his own son dispatch him; the ancients really believed in this custom.

89:6.3 There is no more tragic and pathetic experience on record, illustrative of the heart-tearing contentions between ancient and time-honored religious customs and the contrary demands of advancing civilization, than the Hebrew narrative of

Jephthah and his only daughter.

URANTIA PAPER 89

As was common custom,

[And Jephthah vowed a vow unto the LORD,

this well-meaning man had made a foolish vow,

had bargained with the "god of battles," agreeing to pay a certain price for victory over his enemies.

and said, If thou shalt without fail deliver the children of Ammon into mine hands,

Then it shall be, that whatsoever cometh forth of the doors of my house to meet me, when I return in peace from the children of Ammon, shall surely be the LORD's, and I will offer it up for a burnt offering (Judg. 11:30-31).]

And this price was to make a sacrifice of that which first came out of his house to meet him when he returned to his home.

Jephthah thought that one of his trusty slaves would thus be on hand to greet him, but it turned out that

[And Jephthah came to Mizpeh unto his house, and, behold, his daughter came out to meet him with timbrels and with dances: and she *was his* only child; beside her he had neither son nor daughter (Judg. 11:34).]

his daughter and only child came out to welcome him home.

And so, even at that late date and among a supposedly civilized people,

[And she said unto her father, Let this thing be done for me: let me alone two months, that I may go up and down upon the mountains, and bewail my virginity, I and my fellows....

And it came to pass at the end of two months, that she returned unto her father, who did with her according to his vow which he had vowed: and she knew no man (Judg. 11:37-38).]

this beautiful maiden, after two months to mourn her fate, was actually offered as a human sacrifice by her father,

and with the approval of his fellow tribesmen.

And all this was done in the face of Moses' stringent rulings against the offering of human sacrifice.

URANTIA PAPER 89

But men and women are addicted to making foolish and needless vows, and the men of old held all such pledges to be highly sacred.

A special variety of human sacrifice ... is one which occurs in connection with the beginning of some important undertaking. As it is often found attendant upon the laying of a foundation, it has been called "foundation-sacrifice."

89:6.4 In olden times, when a new building of any importance was started, it was customary to slay a human being as a "foundation sacrifice."

The original purpose was to secure a ghost to watch over, to defend, or to give notice of any peril to some valued structure (S&K 1256-57).

This provided a ghost spirit to watch over and protect the structure.

It is reported that the Chinese used to throw a young girl into melted bell-metal, to better the tone of the bell (S&K 1257).

When the Chinese made ready to cast a bell, custom decreed the sacrifice of <u>at least</u> one maiden for the purpose of <u>improving</u> the tone of the bell; the girl chosen was thrown alive into the molten metal.

89:6.5 It was long the practice of many groups to build slaves alive into important walls.

The Southern Slavs wall in the shadow of a passer-by;

In later times the <u>northern European</u> tribes substituted the <u>walling in of the shadow of a passerby</u>

and the immuring of a girl or woman in the foundation of a new house is referred to in Bosnian and Herzogovinian songs (S&K 1259). for this custom of entombing living persons in the walls of new buildings.

The Chinese and Tatars, in building a city-wall, interred within it the bodies of workmen who died (S&K 1257).

The Chinese buried in a wall those workmen who died while constructing it.

[In his days did Hiel the Bethelite build Jericho:

he laid the foundation thereof in Abiram his firstborn, and set up the gates thereof in his youngest *son* Segub,

according to the word of the LORD, which he spake by Joshua the son of Nun (1 Kings 16:34).] [See also S&K 1258.]

Faint survivals of foundation-sacrifices appear in the dedication of a modern structure, where the articles deposited in the hollow of the foundation-stone are far from including anything as impressive as an immured victim (S&K 1257).

§295.* Redemption and Covenant. (Sumner & Keller 1263)

Offerings of the first-fruits are made to their forefathers by almost every clan [of the Kuki-Lushai] (S&K IV 680). [See also S&K 1264.]

[Ransom and redemption] are conceptions, commonly dismissed as symbolic, which are survivalistic and capable of explanation only in the light of the evolution of religion. Ransom and redemption go back to the body of primitive ideas and practices which we have been reviewing, and especially and most directly to human sacrifice as a means of reconciliation and propitiation ... (S&K 1265).

URANTIA PAPER 89

89:6.6 A petty king in Palestine, in building the walls of Jericho,

"laid the foundation thereof in Abiram, his first-born, and set up the gates thereof in his youngest son, Segub."

At that late date, not only did this father put two of his sons alive in the foundation holes of the city's gates, but his action is also recorded as being

"according to the word of the Lord."

Moses had forbidden these foundation sacrifices, but the Israelites reverted to them soon after his death.

The twentieth-century ceremony of depositing trinkets and keepsakes in the cornerstone of a new building is reminiscent of the primitive foundation sacrifices.

89:6.7 It was long the custom of many peoples to dedicate the first fruits to the spirits.

And these observances, now more or less symbolic, are all survivals of the early ceremonies involving human sacrifice.

One's child, especially his first-born, was the most obvious life to give for his own; then a slave or a prisoner (S&K 1266).

In the case of the Phœnicians child-sacrifice developed, on such lines of logic, into an important societal institution. The Romans could not stamp it out (S&K 1266).

An Englishman in India is figured as having had a son by a native woman, and as, at the instance of an old gate-keeper, performing the ceremony sacrifice by beheading two goats. While so doing he mutters the prayer: "Almighty! In place of this my son I offer life for life, blood for blood, head for head, bone for bone, hair for hair, skin for skin" (S&K 1265).

In the story of Abraham, his willingness to comply with the divine command for the sacrifice of his son is construed as a merit to be richly rewarded (S&K 1268).

In distress it is asked what God demands: "Shall I give my first-born for my sin; the fruit of my body for my transgression?" The first-born is to be given, like the first-fruits (S&K 1268).

The following Hindu legend recalls the story of Abraham. [Etc.] (S&K IV 680)

URANTIA PAPER 89

The idea of offering the first-born as a sacrifice was widespread among the ancients,

especially among the Phoenicians, who were the last to give it up.

It used to be said upon sacrificing, "life for life."

Now you say at death, "dust to dust."

89:6.8 The spectacle of Abraham constrained to sacrifice his son Isaac,

while shocking to civilized susceptibilities, was not a new or strange idea to the men of those days.

It was long a prevalent practice for fathers, at times of great emotional stress, to sacrifice their first-born sons.

Many peoples have a tradition analogous to this story,

for there once existed a world-wide and profound belief that it was necessary to offer a human sacrifice when anything extraordinary or unusual happened.

7. MODIFICATIONS OF HUMAN SACRIFICE

"Even the first-born child, in the same fashion as the animals, had at first been sacrificed to a bloody Jehovah. Later on it was still in theory dedicated to the Lord but its ransom was compulsory. Five shekels of silver paid to the Levites redeemed it." Each grown man was to pay a half-shekel as "a ransom for his soul to the Lord" (S&K 1268).

[See Leviticus 27:1-34.]

At the **festal** time comes the angel of Jahweh and kills the **first-born** of the **Egyptians**. The Israelites, however, had protected themselves by sacrificing a lamb and **sprinkling their door-posts with blood** (S&K 1269).

89:7.1 Moses attempted to end human sacrifices by inaugurating the ransom as a substitute.

He established a systematic schedule which enabled his people to escape the worst results of their rash and foolish vows. Lands, properties, and children could be redeemed according to the established fees, which were payable to the priests.

Those groups which ceased to sacrifice their first-born soon possessed great advantages over less advanced neighbors who continued these atrocious acts. Many such backward tribes were not only greatly weakened by this loss of sons, but even the succession of leadership was often broken.

89:7.2 An outgrowth of the passing child sacrifice was the custom of

smearing blood on the house doorposts for the protection of the first-born.

This was often done in connection with one of the sacred feasts of the year, and this ceremony once obtained over most of the world

URANTIA PAPER 89

from Mexico to Egypt.

Among the Mexicans the divine primordial mother, Centeotl, at her festivals, goes about through the land and abodes of men. To protect life, they pierced their ears, noses, tongues, arms, thighs, collected the blood and hung it in ancient vessels on the door-posts of the houses (S&K 1269).

§294.* Nature of the Offering. (Sumner & Keller 1251)

89:7.3 Even after most groups had ceased the ritual killing of children, it was the custom to put an infant away by itself, off in the wilderness or in a little boat on the water.

"The exposed and later famous men, like Sargon, Cyrus, Moses, Romulus and Remus, play a great rôle.... Without sacrificing the child, it is offered to the god who expresses his satisfaction by preserving the child..." (S&K 1262).

If the child survived, it was thought that the gods had intervened to preserve him, as in the traditions of Sargon, Moses, Cyrus, and Romulus.

Another modified form of human sacrifice is the Roman ver sacrum, or "sacred springtime." Though all the firstborn of a year were vowed to Mars, that is, to death, they might save their lives, if they could, outside the tribe (S&K 1262).

Then came the practice of dedicating the first-born sons as sacred or sacrificial,

"According to the account of Festus, accepted by modern scholars, the *ver sacrum* took the following shape: In times of severe distress the Government dedicated to the gods, for the purpose of moving them to compassion for the people, the entire offspring of both man and beast during the forth-coming year. The children were allowed to live until they had grown up;

allowing them to grow up

URANTIA PAPER 89

then the marriageable youth of both sexes had to leave the town and seek their fortunes abroad, and make a new home for themselves elsewhere..." (S&K 1262).

and then exiling them in lieu of death;

[contd from three rows up] This amounted to mass-exposure and led to colonization (S&K 1262).

this was the origin of colonization.

The Romans adhered to this custom in their scheme of colonization.

§296*. Sacral or Sacrificial "Prostitution." (Sumner & Keller 1272)

[contd] This practice, termed also temple-harlotry, has its relation to human sacrifice, obligation, and ransom (S&K 1272).

The underlying idea is not unlike what was in the minds of the people concerned when a woman who met a party of head-hunters was allowed to surrender herself sexually to save her life.

A girl might be dedicated, in such a relation, to the god instead of being slain in sacrifice;

then she might be bought off with the earnings of her sojourn in the temple (S&K 1272).

89:7.4 Many of the peculiar associations of sex laxity with primitive worship had their origin in connection with human sacrifice.

In olden times, if a woman met headhunters, she could redeem her life by sexual surrender.

<u>Later</u>, a maiden consecrated to the gods as a sacrifice might elect to redeem her life by dedicating her body <u>for life</u> to the sacred sex service of the temple;

in this way she could earn her redemption money.

The ancients regarded it as highly elevating to have sex relations with a woman thus engaged in ransoming her life. It was a religious ceremony to consort with these sacred maidens, and in addition, this whole ritual afforded an acceptable excuse for commonplace sexual gratification. This was a subtle species of self-deception which both the maidens and their consorts delighted to practice upon themselves.

"But not seldom religious tradition refused to move forward with the progress of society;

the goddess [Aphrodite at Byblos] retained her old character as a mother who was not a wife bound to fidelity to her husband, and at her sanctuary she protected under the name of religion, the sexual license of savage society ..." (S&K IV 685).

Sacral prostitution was known in Egypt and Assyria, and to the ancient Semites; it spread westward with the Babylonian and Phœnician religions and "flourished in Israel down into the later era of kings" (S&K 1273).

"No more welcome gift could a [Phœnician] woman bring to the deity than the payment she received for surrendering her body..." (S&K IV 685).

[The women dedicated to the service of the temples in India] were once generally patterns of piety and propriety but are not so now.

"No doubt they drive a profitable trade under the sanction of religion, and some courtesans have been known to amass enormous fortunes. Nor do they think it inconsistent with their method of making money to spend it in works of piety. Here and there Indian bridges and other useful public works owe their existence to the liberality of the frail sisterhood" (S&K IV 684).

[O]ften the dowry for marriage was thus collected, and there was no dishonor involved (S&K IV 686).

URANTIA PAPER 89

The mores always drag behind in the evolutionary advance of civilization,

thus providing sanction for the earlier and more savagelike sex practices of the evolving races.

89:7.5 Temple harlotry eventually spread throughout southern Europe and Asia.

The money earned by the temple prostitutes was held sacred among all peoples—a high gift to present to the gods.

The highest types of women thronged the temple sex marts

and devoted their earnings to all kinds of sacred services and works of public good.

Many of the better classes of women collected their dowries by temporary sex service in the temples,

URANTIA PAPER 89

Among the Dyaks of Borneo there is a class of priestesses whose loose mode of life does not make marriage impossible for them but rather the contrary (S&K 1272).

and most men preferred to have such women for wives.

8. REDEMPTION AND COVENANTS

§297.* Survivals of Human Sacrifice. (Sumner & Keller 1273)

[contd] Perhaps redemption and sacral prostitution might be called modifications or mitigations rather than survivals of the sacrifice of human beings ... (S&K 1273).

In Uganda, at the cessation of a dance, a little girl "was laid out at the base of the tree as though she was to be sacrificed, and every detail of the sacrifice was gone through in mock fashion.

A slight incision was made in the child's neck, but not such as to seriously hurt her.... The girl on whom this ceremony was performed, was, my informant learnt, dedicated by native custom to a life of perpetual virginity" (S&K 1274).

[See S&K 1187 and 85:4.4.]

89:8.1 Sacrificial redemption and temple prostitution were in reality modifications of human sacrifice.

<u>Next</u> came the mock sacrifice of daughters.

This ceremony consisted in bloodletting, with dedication to lifelong virginity,

and was a moral reaction to the older temple harlotry.

In more recent times virgins dedicated themselves to the service of tending the sacred temple fires.

URANTIA PAPER 89

§298.* Exuvial Sacrifice. (Sumner & Keller 1278)

89:8.2 Men eventually conceived the idea that

[T]hat which has been taken to be most directly and indisputably survivalistic of human sacrifice is the actual offering of parts of the body (S&K 1278).

the offering of some part of the body could take the place of the older and complete human sacrifice.

Mutilations are another form of the exuvial sacrifice (S&K 1283).

Physical mutilation was also considered to be an acceptable substitute.

Ideas concerning the fetish-quality of hair and nails and their use in magic lend them especial importance as exuvial sacrifices (S&K 1280).

Hair, nails,

One of the most consistent of exuvial sacrifices is that of the blood (S&K 1282).

blood,

In Fiji, for example, at the death of a king or queen, every man or a member of a family, particularly children, cuts off a finger or toe joint, in some cases a whole finger, fastens it in a reed stalk and hangs it up in the house of the dead (S&K IV 689).

and even fingers and toes were sacrificed.

Of the various exuvial sacrifices effected by mutilation, with consequent blood-letting and identifying scars, the one performed in circumcision is perhaps the most historic. This is a practice found in all parts of the world and under conditions precluding origination elsewhere than in daimonism.

The later and well-nigh universal ancient rite of circumcision was an outgrowth of the cult of partial sacrifice;

it was purely sacrificial,

That it comes, in later ages, to be a hygienic device disconnected with any faith, has led some to believe that it was originally a rational procedure; they should realize that many unpremeditated primitive practices reveal the rationality of that which has survived the automatic processes of selection (S&K 1285).

no thought of hygiene being attached

URANTIA PAPER 89

In one Indian district, one hundred years ago, every woman, "previous to piercing the ears of her eldest daughter, preparatory to her being betrothed in marriage,

women had their ears pierced.

Men were circumcised;³

cutting them off.

thereto.

must undergo the amputation of the first joints of the third and fourth fingers of her right hand." But the mores have become milder. "Instead of the two fingers being amputated, they are now merely bound together and thus rendered unfit for use" (S&K 1284).

To resume with Wilken: cutting of the hair is often a religious ceremony and has so remained; oaths are taken by the hair or beard, as seats of strength or soul (S&K 1280). [See also S&K IV 690-91.]

The mutilation of the sex-organs extended even to castration, a practice of the priests of certain cults, preserved in classical legend, and prolonged into the eunuch-making of relatively recent times (S&K 1287).

Shaving the head and cutting the hair were likewise forms of religious devotion.

custom to bind fingers together instead of

89:8.3 Subsequently it became the

The making of eunuchs was at first a modification of the idea of human sacrifice.

Nose and lip piercing is still practiced in Africa,

[?]

URANTIA PAPER 89

As foregoing cases indicate, many of these marks, originally religious, became ornamental as well as political; and rude scarring developed into artistic tattooing with intricate ornamental patterns (S&K 1289).

and tattooing is an artistic evolution of the earlier crude scarring of the body.

§295.* Redemption and Covenant. (Sumner & Keller 1263)

The establishment of what was thought to be a successful adjustment for conciliation and propitiation leads to

the conception of a covenant, that is, of a contract or alliance between the men who owe to the god their blood and that of their children and the divinity that takes the ransom (S&K 1270).

89:8.4 The custom of sacrifice eventually became associated, as a result of advancing teachings, with

the idea of the covenant.

At last, the gods were conceived of as entering into real agreements with man; and this was a major step in the stabilization of religion. Law, a covenant, takes the place of luck, fear, and superstition.

89:8.5 Man could never even dream of entering into a contract with Deity until his concept of God had advanced to the level whereon the universe controllers were envisioned as dependable. And man's early idea of God was so anthropomorphic that he was unable to conceive of a dependable Deity until he himself became relatively dependable, moral, and ethical.

89:8.6 But the idea of making a covenant with the gods did finally arrive.

URANTIA PAPER 89

XXXIV: SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1199)

§285.* Bargain-Sacrifice; Prayer. (Sumner & Keller 1205)

Evolutionary man eventually acquired such moral dignity that he dared to

[contd] Perhaps the most outstanding feature of the sacrifice as a process of dealing with the daimons is the fact that it is a bargain.

It is a piece of the business of living;

. . . .

And so the business of offering sacrifices gradually developed into the game of man's philosophic bargaining with God.

And all this represented a new device for

a premium paid on an insurance policy against the aleatory element;

insuring against bad luck

bargain with his gods.

a purchase of good luck.

or, rather, an enhanced technique for the more definite purchase of prosperity.

It is not at all intended to be a free gift, something for nothing, given purely out of love for the spirits or a disinterested desire to see them pleased (S&K 1205).

Do not entertain the mistaken idea that these early sacrifices were a free gift to the gods,

[Contradicts 89:4.2, above.]

a spontaneous offering of gratitude or thanksgiving; they were not expressions of true worship.

89:8.7 Primitive forms of prayer were nothing more nor less than bargaining with the spirits, an argument with the gods. It was a kind of bartering in which pleading and persuasion were substituted for something more tangible and costly. The developing commerce of the races had inculcated the spirit of trade and had developed the shrewdness of barter; and now these traits began to appear in man's worship methods.

URANTIA PAPER 89

And as some men were better traders than others, so some were regarded as better prayers than others.

Where prayer does not include the element of promise of sacrifice, or a reminder of its performance, that element resides in the common assumption that only the prayers of the "just" stand much chance of being answered.

The prayer of a just man was held in high esteem.

But the just, or the "justified" are those whose cult-obligations have been scrupulously discharged.... Their accounts are squared up with the spirits (S&K 1208).

A just man was one who had paid all accounts to the spirits, had fully discharged every ritual obligation to the gods.

Primitive prayers, as the reader of them observes at once, do not ask for spiritual things; much less are they an expression of "attitude of soul" (S&K 1208).

89:8.8 Early prayer was hardly worship;

It is entirely typical of prayer that the requests are, above all, for life, health, and wealth of the individual and his immediate circle and for offspring (S&K 1209).

it was a bargaining petition for health, wealth, and life.

And in many respects prayers have not much changed with the passing of the ages.

They are still read out of books, recited formally, and written out for

A recent traveller [in Tibet] encountered monasteries "containing enormous prayer-wheels in which they said there were one million prayers. Each time the wheel is turned a bell rings, and one million prayers are ascended to Heaven" (S&K 1210).

emplacement on wheels

URANTIA PAPER 89

Bits of cotton with prayers printed on them and tied to strings or to high <u>poles</u> placed over houses belong to the same class of objects as the prayer-wheels;

and for hanging on trees,

each time these bits of stuff flutter in the breeze it is as if the prayer written on them had been recited ... (S&K IV 647).

where the blowing of the winds will save man the trouble of expending his own breath.

9. SACRIFICES AND SACRAMENTS

XXXVI: HUMAN SACRIFICE (Sumner & Keller 1251)

§295.* Redemption and Covenant. (Sumner & Keller 1263)

89:9.1 The human sacrifice, throughout the course of the evolution of Urantian rituals, has advanced from the bloody business of man-eating to higher and more symbolic levels.

The idea of sacrament enters into the sacrifice;

The early rituals of sacrifice bred the later ceremonies of sacrament.

that is, all who participate in a thing which, occurring in religion, is rare, terrible, mysterious, of powerful effect upon the imagination, yet effective for salvation from danger and from evil—which, outside of religion, may be shocking and abominable—all such come into close communion with one another (S&K 1271).

The amount of cannibal food is reduced to a small crumb or to a drop of blood, mixed with other edibles or drinks (S&K 1271).

In more recent times the <u>priest alone</u> would partake of a bit of the cannibalistic sacrifice or a <u>drop of human blood</u>,

and then all would partake of the animal substitute.

The composite idea of ransom, redemption, and covenant has been developed, interpreted, rationalized, and refined from its original, to become one of the profoundest and most transcendental of religious mysteries;

and at the same time it has exercised a powerful socializing influence upon ever wider groups of fellow-worshippers (S&K 1271).

[Compare S&K IV 682-83.]

Those who participate in the sacrificial eating of the victim, or the drinking of his blood, are brought into sacred relations. The use of blood to lend sanctity to acts, even between groups or between members of different groups, is common enough; brotherhoods are thus formed and agreements are sanctioned by dipping weapons in the blood of sacrifice;

"the blood of the everlasting covenant" is a familiar expression (S&K 1270).

URANTIA PAPER 89

These early ideas of ransom, redemption, and covenants have evolved into the later-day sacramental services.

And all this ceremonial evolution has exerted a mighty socializing influence.

89:9.2 In connection with the Mother of God cult, in Mexico and elsewhere, a sacrament of cakes and wine was eventually utilized in lieu of the flesh and blood of the older human sacrifices. The Hebrews long practiced this ritual as a part of their Passover ceremonies, and it was from this ceremonial that the later Christian version of the sacrament took its origin.

89:9.3 The ancient social brotherhoods were based on the rite of blood drinking;

the early Jewish fraternity was a sacrificial blood affair.

Paul started out to build a new Christian cult on

"the blood of the everlasting covenant."

URANTIA PAPER 89

And while he may have unnecessarily encumbered Christianity with teachings about blood and sacrifice, he did once and for all make an end of the doctrines of redemption through human or animal sacrifices. His theologic compromises indicate that even revelation must submit to the graduated control of evolution.

We are told that the death of Christ was a sacrifice for all; it paid the cult obligation.... The old cult-works to assure future life were thus done away with; Paul repudiated them as a burden (S&K IV 681).

According to Paul, Christ became the last and all-sufficient human sacrifice; the divine Judge is now fully and forever satisfied.

For some authors the [Christian sacrament of communion] is a survival from the cannibalism of remote ages, from anthropotheophagy.

89:9.4 And so, after long ages the cult of the sacrifice has evolved into the cult of the sacrament.

Thus are the sacraments of modern religions the legitimate successors of those shocking early ceremonies of human sacrifice and the still earlier cannibalistic rituals.

Many still depend upon blood for salvation, but it has at least become

If we heard that the Chinese or Mohammedans had a religious custom in which they used currently the figure of eating flesh and drinking the blood of a man or god, and if we had no such figure of speech in our own use, we should consider it very shocking (S&K IV 684).

figurative, symbolic, and mystic.

URANTIA PAPER 89

10. FORGIVENESS OF SIN

VIII: SIN AND FORGIVENESS (Wieman 147)

[PREAMBLE] (Wieman 147)

Our problem is to get beneath [the patterns by which religion has dealt in the past with sin, conversion, salvation and redemption] to the true needs and realities involved, and to develop a pattern fitted to our time which will enable religion today to deal adequately with these matters (W 147).

Now the sense of sin is nothing else than the sense of reality, and people cannot live effectively and intelligently without a sense of reality.

But the sense of "sin" as a pattern of thought handed from one cultural context to another does not help the people of this age to find the ancient reality.... [P]reaching cannot do it nor propaganda nor revivals. These cannot galvanize into vitality an outworn pattern of thought about reality,

even though the living *reality* is with us still (W 147-48).

89:10.1 Ancient man only attained consciousness of favor with God through sacrifice.

Modern man must develop new techniques of achieving the self-consciousness of salvation.

The consciousness of sin persists in the mortal mind,

but the thought patterns of salvation therefrom have become outworn and antiquated.

The reality of the spiritual need persists,

but intellectual progress has destroyed the olden ways of securing peace and consolation for mind and soul.

URANTIA PAPER 89

SIN (Wieman 148)

[contd] Sin is disloyalty to God.

89:10.2 Sin must be redefined as deliberate disloyalty to Deity.

Another way of saying it is that sin is the insubordination of any interest or impulse to the complete sovereignty of God. Still again, sin is any state of being which is not completely dominated and controlled by one mastering devotion to the whole reality of God (W 148).

The disloyalty that is sin has four different forms.

There are degrees of disloyalty:

There is the sin of incomplete loyalty;

the partial loyalty of indecision;

there is the sin of divided loyalty;

the divided loyalty of confliction;

the dying loyalty of indifference;

the sin of no loyalty or indifference;

and the death of loyalty exhibited in

and, finally, the sin of idolatry. This last is most damaging of all, most subtle and yet most deadly. It is the sin that is incurred in the steadfast loyalty to some one specific object in lieu of God (W 148).

devotion to godless ideals.

THE SENSE OF GUILT (Wieman 153)

The sense of guilt in its most <u>naïve</u> form will be determined by the <u>mores</u> (W 153).

89:10.3 The sense or feeling of guilt is the consciousness of the violation of the mores:

it is not necessarily sin.

There is no real sin in the absence of conscious disloyalty to Deity.

URANTIA PAPER 89

89:10.4 The possibility of the recognition of the sense of guilt is a badge of transcendent distinction for mankind.

[The sense of guilt] springs from the realization of the glory that might be and ought to be. It is the mark of the dignity and the greatness of man, of human life and history, and not of the meanness of it (W 155-56).

It does not mark man as mean but rather sets him apart as a creature of potential greatness and ever-ascending glory.

Such a sense of unworthiness is the initial stimulus that should lead quickly and surely to those faith conquests which translate the mortal mind to the superb levels of moral nobility, cosmic insight, and spiritual living; thus are all the meanings of human existence changed from the temporal to the eternal, and all values are elevated from the human to the divine.

CONFESSION OF SIN (Wieman 156)

[contd] Confession of sin is vital to religious health. Only through confession and repudiation of disloyalty can one reinstate and preserve his loyalty in the midst of that constant and inevitable unfaithfulness which life imposes (W 156).

89:10.5 The confession of sin is a manful repudiation of disloyalty,

Of course my confession in nowise nullifies other consequences of the disloyalty. These may go on very disastrously unless it is possible for me to do something else to correct them in addition to my confession (W 156).

but it in no wise mitigates the time-space consequences of such disloyalty.

Finally confession of sin enables one to yield himself to the purging, remaking process of growth which is God (W 157).

But confession—sincere recognition of the nature of sin—is essential to religious growth and spiritual progress.

URANTIA PAPER 89

FORGIVENESS OF SIN (Wieman 157)

The forgiveness of God is the renewal, after disloyalty, of the interplay of innumerable activities weaving connections of meaning and mutual support between the individual and his physical and social environment, healing and building anew the maimed and broken connections caused by the disloyalty (W 158).

89:10.6 The forgiveness of sin by Deity is the renewal of loyalty relations

following a period of the human consciousness of the lapse of such relations as the consequence of conscious rebellion.

The forgiveness does not have to be sought, only received as the consciousness of re-establishment of loyalty relations between the creature and the Creator.

[Repeated from 89:2.3: The belief is always present, by implication at least, that there was once a sinless time, when all were happy; this was the Golden Age (S&K 1136).]

And all the loyal sons of God are happy,

service-loving, and ever-progressive in the Paradise ascent.

89:10.7 [Presented by a Brilliant Evening Star of Nebadon.]

URANTIA PAPER 89

SOURCE OR PARALLEL

- 1. "Original sin" may belong, as a dogma, to a more developed stage of civilization, but the conviction that anyone may at any time sin, and so be a candidate for punishment, is entirely correlative with the common experience of all mankind ... (S&K 1210).
- 2. The Tauaré burn their dead. The ashes are preserved in holly reeds and at each meal some of them are consumed (S&K IV 668).
- 3. *Contrast:* [Circumcision] is a common rite in initiation-ceremonies, being performed on <u>both</u> sexes ... (S&K IV 691).